**From:** Felicia Bridges <[fbridges@peralta.edu](mailto:fbridges@peralta.edu)>  
**Date:** February 22, 2019 at 10:55:16 AM PST  
**To:** Rowena Tomaneng <[rtomaneng@peralta.edu](mailto:rtomaneng@peralta.edu)>  
**Subject:** **Tech Committee Meeting February 21, 2019**

**Tech Committee Meeting Minutes February 21, 2019**

**Attendees: Rowena, Phoumy, Joe, Jeejun, Vincent, Mary, Joshua, Siraj, Elissa, Kelly**

**Intros**

**Program Review & Technology**

The timeline for the program and all the resource request to got to detract governance will go to the end of March. The tech prioritization request will happen after March 12th.  They the facilities committee will look at everything.

Phoumy will give a summary of what has been submitted so far.  The majority has bee submitted and reviews and new he going through and accessing all the data request.  There are option for each request.  What as not been submitted have been administrative unites.  What he is not sure on whether a few that haven’t been submitted are required.  He will review with Kuni. When he check the list against what department are asking for and the associated cost is under review.

Rowena, In the past we didn’t prioritize based on division. Should it be ares or division the should be prioritize.  For example the library has priorities,  Also science didn’t have the option to prioritize int he past Is don[’t thinks that science has anything major.  They have almost all that they need.  MMArts didn’t have option, but know what their priorities are.  There are a few items on the wish list that they would like in the next three years.

Next year Rowena requested that divisions have priorities as an option.

Mary there are some issues with the server.  Looking for mobile

Vincent says he has to figure out how the windows components interface with MACs. Says that he talked with Shirley regarding the desktop replacement, but not campus wide deployment.  He can look at faculty computers that needs to be replaced for the coming year.

Rowena says that this years is the comprehensive program review for resource allocations that support IT area.

Phoumy to address the priority levels, that the current list has a sublevel about what each division needs.

Rowena, the program review is designed for request that we currently don’t have funding for.  Nothing that have already been funded.  That information will be sent out.

**Web update**

Felicia, website conversion was scheduled to happen Friday, February 8, but technical issues concerning the home page plugins and links prevent the implementation.  The home page was launched with issues still remaining with plugin that are currently being resolved with web sever.  One of the biggest heartless is that the old site coding is obsolete and inhibiting communication with the new site. Action were taken such as remove old coding and reinstalling new.  It is believed that this will resolve some the issues.  The old site is schedule to go dark in the coming weeks.

The department pages will incrementally go through conversion.  Communication has been sent out to managers, but not all have indicated that they have reviewed their site.

Rowena, ask that Felicia present at the upcoming cabinet meeting and creating and web conversion workshop at the upcoming Flex Day.

Kelly asked that the deadline for the end of the old site be pushed out at least until after the Flex day workshop.

**OnePeralta**

Kelly update on OnePeralta is still moving forward incrementally.  The participatory governance is asking for VP inclusion on committee.  Looking for one fluid voice for clear input to District IT, so IT knows what the priorities.  Give all comments to Stacey Shears.  One of the goals is to develop the full technology plan as it stands, with incremental updates.  It will change based on available funds.  It is not stalled any more due to the help of the bond measure and based on student experience.

Rowena not sure if the bond measure will cover the implementation.  The bond is focused on what we have in the FTMP.

Mary just wants degree audit.  Because that is tied to student success.

Felicia asked that the District IT can give a timeline of when this will be implemented.

**Action item**

Request a timeline from the district and what to expect.

**Campus Updates**

Joe All the campus technology is 10 years old and no upgrades to any room.  There are purchase for new projectors.  Are upgrading as equipment becomes available, but we are limited to what we can get out of equipment and what we can get out of it.  Asking for 5 rooms ad year to get us uptown date in 10 years.  Did get a quote for the auditorium.  Mainly problems with plugs becoming faulty and double missing.  The 5th floor should be set because of small items. Not sure how to address all the little things because there isn’t any funding for small fixes.  Pulling out all the the stops but need to more forward with digital upgrades and running on analog wire.

Vincent the same problem with IT the current network infrastructure fro when we moved into the building.  Our facility is the best among the other campuses, but out IT is at the bottom.  We need at least 1.5 million based on low estimate.  The director of IT would like to present his plan for BCC some time after the 12th. Out internet service provider was upgraded with 10 circuits going to Sunnyvale and Emeryville.  Out internet connections are strong.  But our network infrastructure needs upgrading because it is beyond 12 years old.

As far as school start everything is ok.  Experience as number of loin issues and with Canvas.  Students didn’t know to communicate to the Canvas.  They need to find how login with new interface. Surface equipment can be reallocated.

Rowena will Joe and Vincent be on the bug committee. Joe says he volunteered to assist Vincent.  IT needs to be there.  Heather needs to be on committee because possibility for library expansion on the first floor.