

Academic Senate Minutes

Wednesday, Feb. 16, 2022 - 12:30 pm - 1:20pm

https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/99470925078

Berkeley City College's mission is to promote student success, to provide our diverse community with educational opportunities, and to transform lives. The college achieves its mission through instruction, student support and learning resources which enable its enrolled students to earn associate degrees and certificates, and to attain college competency, careers, transfer, and skills for lifelong success.

Required Membership: (Attended = marked with "X", Partial Attendance "P")

☑ Matthew Freeman, President Academic Senate	
	☐ Anna McCormick, Senator Modern Languages
☑ Pete DuBois, Senator Business/CIS	
☐ Osa Kauffman, Senator ESOL	☑ Randy Yang, Senator Sciences

Guests: Kuni Hay (VPI), Ari Krupnick (Curriculum Chair), Heather Sisneros (CIPD), Laura Ruberto (TLC Coordinator), Charlotte Lee, Jenny Braman (TLC Coordinator, BUG representative), Nancy Cayton (Curriculum & Assessment Specialist), Tim Rose (Chair of Chairs), Vanessa Philip. (ASL Interpreter), Cora Leighton (DE Coordinator), Barbara Des Rochers (Sciences)

Agenda Item	Discussion	Follow-up Action	Decisions (Shared Agreement/Resolved or Unresolved?)
Meeting called to order			
1. Approval of Agenda	a. Motion to Approve		Moved by : Joseph B
	Agenda (2 mins)		Seconded by: Sam G
			Approved: 11
			No:0
			Abstentions: 0
2. Approval of Minutes	a. Motion to Approve		Moved by: Pete D
	Minutes from February		Seconded by: Jenny Y
	2nd (3 mins)		Approved: 13
	, ,		No: 0
			Abstentions: 1
3. Action Items			

4. Discussion and/or Information	a. Assigning Courses to Discipline (12 mins) b. ASCCC Position Paper: Tutoring & LRC (12 mins) c. DAS/Laney College Rez on Chancellor's Camera & Internet (5 mins)	a. Assigning Courses to Disciplines Review the draft letter to VC Droker, make proposed edits/changes, and take action to endorse it on March 2nd. b. ASCCC Position Paper: Tutoring & LRC Faculty Role Add Action Item to next Senatae Meeting: Move to endorse the recommendations made by the ASCCC.	a. Assigning Courses to Discipline (12 mins) Curriculum Chair Ari Krupnick shared the Minimum Qualifications Handbook for Faculty and Administrators of CCC. He highlighted that it contains the list of minimum qualifications for faculty to be able to teach certain disciplines. Every course in the college must be assigned to a discipline. Courses can be assigned to multiple disciplines. At Peralta, our course outlines note the prefix we place a course under (Phil, Math, Art, etc). It is the label we choose to put on a course to promote to students. However, this course prefix is different from assigning a course to a specific discipline. Art vs Art History may have different course discipline assignments based on the qualifications to teach Art vs Art History. We have tried to request the District share the document that contains all of the discipline assignments. This District document should not have revisions without consultation from the Academic Senate. The Curriculum Committee Chair's request of the Senate is to ask Dr. Droker (VC) to share this document with faculty in Peralta and to assume main control of revisions to this document. Ari prepared a letter to present to Dr. Droker. We should review this document, suggest changes take action on March 2nd to endorse it. It has not yet been shared to Curriculum Committee or other Academic Senates but it is in the plan. BCC Senate feedback: Something should be added about District Academic Senate. There is an Administrative Procedure regarding consultation with the Academic Senate. Can college differ on where they assign courses? Every college that offers the same course must have the same assignment.

CIPD. Tutoring and Learning Resources. role for tutoring, this certification. and Approval of tutors. outside of supervision. recommendations made by the ASCCC.

Heather Sisneros, Chair of CIPD, emailed Amany at the district to see if there was a timeframe for CIPD. She was told March

b. ASCCC Position Paper: Tutoring & LRC (12 mins)

Jenny Lowood is asking for any Action Plan on Faculty Role in

In Fall 2021, ASCC proposed and endorsed a Position Paper.

Dean Lisa Cook who provides administrative oversight of the BCC Tutor Training program is looking to move in the direction of this paper. We've made some progresws on that vision.

- 2b2 Standards of ASCCC places faculty in the main
- CRLA certification is the Gold Standard for the Tutor Training. BCC has been a registered site for awarding
- Important role for faculty is in the area of Recruitment
- Embedded tutoring is only for in-class sessions with a faculty member present. Outside of this, tutoring needs to be supervised via the LRC. We need to improve in this area because there is some tutoring happening

Ideally at our next Senate meeting we move to endorse the

BCC used to collect apportionment for tutoring through Math 501 and English 501 courses. Those courses went away for awhile. We recently re-approved courses Math 501 and English 501. As long as we meet the criteria, we should use these courses to collect apportionment once again.

We need faculty to timely recruit and approve tutors, regularly evaluate them, to mentor embedded tutors, and to advocate for Fund 1 budgets, to help ensure tutors receive training.

c. DAS/Laney College Resolution on Chancellor's Camera & Internet (5 mins)

		Laney is seeking feedback on this document. They passed this resolution at their college. They will bring it to the DAS for endorsement as well. BCC Local Senate feedback/comments: Is a resolution the proper item for this? Resolved statements should be action items for the Senate body to do, not for the district to do. It seems this is personal in nature. The tone is not very constructive and lends to acrimony that is present. An alternate approach that is more productive is recommended. There has to be a different way to ask the chancellor to turn on Camera and have it assist in understanding the challenges she is experiencing Although consistent with Board Policy, we are asking for administrators to spend extra funds in the face of budget cuts. This is not a good look for Peralta. Our Senate president will vote No on this resolution as presented.
5. Standing Items	a. Senate BUG	b. PD Update: March Flex Day (5 mins)
a. Assessment Committee Report	Taskforce Update (10 mins)	The PD committee is currrently discussing plans for midterm
b. Curriculum	b. PD Update: March	Flex Day, on March 15th. Be sure to pass along any ideas for
Committee Report	Flex Day (5 mins)	Flex programming, if you can't join the PD meetings.
c. Chairs Council		5, 7 to to 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
Report		There is also a call for applications for Professional
d. Professional		Development Funding. See <u>How to Apply for Funding</u> on our
Development		web site. [Note: During Covid, the district is only considering
Committee Report		travel requests on a case-by-case basis, so please contact us
e. Distance Education		well in advance if you are considering PD-related travel.]
Committee Report		
f. CE Committee Report		a. Senate BUG Taskforce Update (10 mins)
g. Affordable		a. Seriale BOO Taskioice Opuale (10 IIIIIIs)
Education Materials		We want to be more involved in endorsing plans during our
Report		Construction phase of this project.
h. Integrated Planning		The designs that were presented to the college on November
Committee Report		22, 2021 were approved by the Board over the holiday break.
i. IPAR Report		
j. Facilities		A member of the BUG was surprised to learn this just
Committee Report		recently that the Board already approved them. • Senate and Roundtable were not asked to endorse the
	1	

6. Public Comment/Announcements Meeting adjourned Next Meeting:	Let us work on ways to improve the process to report out and seek endorsement from the college community. 1:29pm March 2, 2022
1. Teaching and Learning Center Report m. Accreditation Report/Update n. District Academic Senate Report o. Senate Equity Working Group p. Senate BUG Task Force q. OPUS Award: Faculty Honors & Acknowledgement	 Unfortunately there is no part-time faculty representation in any of these BUGs. At this point, we should ask the question: Does the Senate feel like the faculty has been consulted properly in this process? It is faculty who will outlast many administration after this project is completed. We will live and work in that space for decades. Perhaps we can have a conversation about ways we can make these groups known and ways to communicate out. As we move forward, we should make sure we get as much feedback from people. We need to ensure the building reflects what we need and want. Architects/planners have responded really well to our input. However, the concern is, are we properly representing faculty in the entire college community? There are blind spots in the process that need more transparency.
k. Technology Committee Report	to think about process here to advocate for our college community.