## 2018-19 Program Review - Instructional

## Program Overview

Please verify the mission statement for your program. If there is no mission statement listed, please add it here.


#### Abstract

The most important goal of the science department, in which chemistry is one of the disciplines, is to provide students with the knowledge and skills they will need in order to perform successfully in the next stage of their careers, whether that stage involves transfer to a 4-year institution, entering a professional program of study such as nursing, or entering the workplace in a specialized field such as biotechnology. Another important goal is to build stepping stones to science in order to make careers in science accessible to students who have little or no background in science and math but who have been excited by the news and the potential of interesting jobs in biotechnology and other science related fields.


## List your Faculty and/or Staff

| FT | PT | Rosa Alvis Ph.D. (Phys) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Barbara Des Rochers Ph.D. (Biol) | Ali Deghani Ph.D. (Chem) | Doug Schmidt Ph.D. (Biol) |
| Pieter de Haan Ph.D. (Biol) | Anu Sharma MS., MEd., MBA. | Jamie Acosta Ph.D. (Biol) |
| Siraj Omar Ph.D. (Chem) | (Chem) | Linda McPheron Ph.D. (Biol) |
| Samuel Gillette Ph.D. (Chem) | Gurnam Singh Ph.D. (Chem) | Randy Yang Ph.D. (Biol) |
| Francisco Monsalve Santa Ph.D. (Phys) | Neeta Sharma Ph.D. (Chem) | Riva Bruenn Ph.D. (Biol) |
| Staff | Paul Henderson Ph.D. (Chem) | Julia Chang Ph.D. (Biol) |
| Azul Lewis BS (Chem) | Andrea Sproul MS. (Geog) | Lili Banihashemi MS. (Biol) |
| Amir Jaberi BS (Biol) | Elena Givental Ph.D. (Geog) | Scott Blitch MS. (Biol) |
| Natalia Federova MS (Biol) | Don Woodrow Ph.D. (Geol) | Janelle Barbier MS. (Biol) |
|  | Matthew Fillingim Ph.D. (Astr + | Erika Yeh Ph.D. (Biol) |
|  | Phys) | Sheldon Nelson MS(PhysS) |

The Program Goals below are from your most recent Program Review or APU. If none are listed, please add your most recent program goals. Then, indicate the status of this goal, and which College and District goal your program goal aligns to. If your goal has been completed, please answer the follow up question regarding how you measured the achievement of this goal.

The goal of the Biotechnology Program at Berkeley City College is to provide students with the knowledge and skills they will need in order to perform successfully in the next stage of their careers, whether that stage involves entering the workplace in a research or industrial laboratory, transferring to a 4-year institution to complete an undergraduate degree, or entering a professional program of study such as nursing or medicine. The programs and courses are designed to include both academic and laboratory training and emphasize development of competence and confidence. There are two Certificates of Achievement (CA) and an A.S. degree in Biotechnology and they are stackable: The first CA prepares students for entry level biotechnology laboratory assistant positions in industry and academic laboratories, the second CA is designed for students who have completed the first certificate and want to progress in their education and training so that they can either enter the workforce directly or transfer to a 4 year institution. The second CA also has been designed for professionals in the industry and research laboratories, who desire more up to date laboratory training. The A.S. degree in Biotechnology prepares students for employment as
technicians and research associates in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries, state and federal laboratories, and a range of clinical and academic laboratories.
BIOL-AST: The Associate in Science in Biology for Transfer Degree is designed to prepare students to complete the baccalaureate degree in Biology upon transferring into the CSU system. This program assists in seamlessly transferring to a CSU in order to earn a bachelor's degree in Biology. The courses in the BIOL-AST degree program are also articulated to allow students to transfer to local U.C.'s. All classes in this program are currently being offered at BCC.

Describe your current utilization of facilities, including labs and other space
We are using all Lab spaces in our building. Lectures are held in different lecture rooms. The tiered classroom 431 is always used for double sections. We need more of these tiered classrooms! Lunch seminars are also held at the tiered classroom. Evening seminars utilize the auditorium.

## Enrollment Trends






| C |  |  |  |  | us |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Campus | Census <br> Enrl | FTEF | FTES | Productivity |
| 2015-16 | Berkeley | 88 | 0.40 | 8.80 | 22.00 |
| 2016-17 | Berkeley | 90 | 0.40 | 9.00 | 22.50 |
| 2017-18 | Berkeley | 81 | 0.40 | 9.11 | 22.79 |

## Enrollment Trends Power BI dashboard

Note: Please consider the most recent 3 years when answering the questions below.
Set the filters above to your discipline, and discuss enrollment trends over the past three years
Astronomy: The FTES went down from 15.38 in 2015-2016 to 14.49 in 2017-2018 (-5.8\% decline). Biology: The FTES went down from 238.01 in 2015-2016 to 220.49 in 2017-2018 ( $-7.4 \%$ decline). Chemistry: The FTES went up from 178.78 in 2015-2016 to 186.36 in 2017-2018 ( $4.2 \%$ increase). Geography: The FTES went down from 47.41 in 2015-2016 to 39.99 in 2017-2018 ( $-15.7 \%$ decline). Geology: The FTES went down from 13.00 in 2015-2016 to 11.90 in 2017-2018 ( $-8.5 \%$ decline).
Physics: The FTES went up from 48.70 in 2015-2016 to 64.69 in 2017-2018 ( $33 \%$ increase).
Physical Sciences: The FTES went up from 8.80 in 2015-2016 to 9.11 in 2017-2018. In Spring 2019 we added an extra Physical Science class on Wednesday evenings ( $3.5 \%$ increase).
In summary we found a slight downturn in enrollment for Astronomy, Biology, Geography and Geology ( $-8.6 \%$ ), a strong upward trend in Physics and a slight upward trend in Chemistry and Physical Sciences. In total the decline for the Sciences including Chemistry is $-0.6 \%$ which is substantial less the decline we witness at BCC overall ( $-11.2 \%$ ).
Set the filter above to consider whether the time of day each course is offered meets the needs of students.

Faculty meeting sets the schedule in a manner that meets the student needs and demands such as transferring as a cohort.

Are courses scheduled in a manner that meets student needs and demands? How do you know?
Faculty meeting sets the schedule in a manner that meets the student needs and demands such as transferring as a cohort.
Describe effective and innovative teaching strategies used by faculty to increase student learning and engagement.

Lab classes include hands-on experiments.
Challenging take home assignments.
Active learning strategies.
How is technology used by the discipline, department?
Lectures: power point, white board, clickers
Labs: assorted equipment
How does the discipline, department, or program maintain the integrity and consistency of academic standards with all methods of delivery, including face to face, hybrid, and Distance Education courses?

In the Sciences all classes are face to face classes. This means that we know the students well during the semester and that we can help them if there are some problems. This strategy is reflected in a higher completion rate for the sciences compared with BCC's completion rate. The only hybrid class is in Physical Sciences that actually showed a $3.5 \%$ increase in completion rate over the last three years.

## Curriculum

Please review your course outlines of record in CurricUNet Meta to determine if they have been updated or deactivated in the past three years. Specify when your department will update each one, within the next three years.

| ASTR-10-Updated ASTR-15-Updated BIOL-1A-Updated BIOL-1B-Updated BIOL-3-Updated BIOL-10-Spring19 BIOL-13-Spring19 | BIOL-13L-Spring19 <br> BIOL-25-Spring-19 BIOL-32-Updated BIOL-33-Updated BIOL-34-Updated BIOL-50A-Updated BIOL-50B-Updated | BIOL-50C-Updated CHEM-1A-Spring19 <br> CHEM-1B-Spring19 <br> CHEM-30A- <br> Spring-19 <br> CHEM-30B-Spring19 <br> CHEM-12A- <br> Updated <br> CHEM-12B- <br> Updated | PHYS-3A-Updated PHYS-3B-Updated PHYS-4A-Updated PHYS-4B-Updated PHYS-4C-Updated PHYS-10-Spring-19 PHYSC-20-Spring19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Please summarize the Discipline, Department or program of study plans for curriculum plans for improvement. Below, please provide details for individual course improvement. Add plans for new courses here.

Biotech: Tissue Engineering, Bioethics, Bioinformatics and Drug discovery.
GEOG: (Need a FT Faculty), GIS course and AS-T degree are needed. There are plans to create a new Geography course that combines GEOG-1 Lec and GEOG-1Lab.
Engineering: (Need a FT Faculty), Engineering courses and AS-T degree.

## Assessment - Instructional

## Student Learning Outcomes Assessment

List your Student Learning Outcomes
See Curricunet. All our courses have SLOs.
Were there any obstacles experienced during assessment? What worked well? (Mainly based on evidence in the report, attach other evidence as necessary)

None. On time received.

What percent of your programs have been assessed? (mainly based on evidence in the report, attach other evidence as necessary; note: a complete program assessment means all Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) have been assessed for that program)

In the last round $100 \%$ of our courses were assessed. With the recent round we are almost on schedule.
How has your dept worked together on assessment (planning together)? Describe how your dept works well on assessment? Describe things that went well or obstacles. What aspects of assessment work went especially well in your department and what improvements are most needed?

```
No complaints
```

Collaboration
We are now assessing BIOL-10 that consist of 8 sections. All instructors are involved.
Leadership Roles

```
As Assessment Coordinator and liaison, I oversee all the assessments in our department and the college overall (Pieter de Haan).
```


## Planning Process

During Department meetings at the beginning of the semester.
Dept meetings for Collaboration

## During Flex day.

Data Analysis
Done individual for single section courses and done during Flex days and sharing of google-docs when doing courses with multiple sections.

What were the most important things your department learned from assessment? Did implementation of your action plans result in better student learning? In other words, how has your department used the results of assessment to improve student learning and/or curriculum? Please be as detailed as possible.

We as the Science Faculty learned a lot about the assessments and we are adjusting our courses based on the action plans formulated. This resulted in different teaching strategies, changing laboratory exercises, changing course outlines, creating new courses, programs, and adjusting exam questions etc.

Does your department participate in the assessment of multidisciplinary programs? If Yes, Describe your department's participation and what you learned from the assessment of the program that was applicable to your own discipline.

Yes. Program assessments will be attempted during the Fall semester 2020 when all course assessments are done.
Does your department participate in your college's Institutional Learning Outcomes (ILOs) assessment? If Yes, Please describe your departments participation in assessing Institutional Learning Outcomes.

Not at the moment.
What support does your department need from administrators, assessment coordinators and/or your campus assessment committee to continue to make progress in assessment of outcomes and implementation of action plans?

## None.

Please verify the mission statement for your program. If there is no mission statement listed, please add it here.

The most important goal of the science department, in which chemistry is one of the disciplines, is to provide students with the knowledge and skills they will need in order to perform successfully in the next stage of their careers, whether that stage involves transfer to a 4 -year institution, entering a professional program of study such as nursing, or entering the workplace in a specialized field such as biotechnology. Another important goal is to build stepping stones to science in order to make careers in science accessible to students who have little or no background in science and math but who have been excited by the news and the potential of interesting jobs in biotechnology and other science related fields.

## Course Completion

## Course Completion Power BI Dashboard





| College | Semester | Subject | Catalog No. | Academic Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berkeley City College $\checkmark$ | All $\checkmark$ | BIOL V | All $\vee$ | All | $\checkmark$ |




| Completion \& Retention Rates by College |  |  |  | Completion \& Retention Rates by Subject |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Year | College | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Completion } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Retention } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Academic Year | Subject | Completion Rate Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Retention } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2017-18 | Berkeley City College | 66 \% | $79 \%$ | 2017-18 | BIOL | 72 \% | $82 \%$ |
| 2016-17 | Berkeley City College | 66\% | 80\% | 2016-17 | BIOL | 77 \% | 85\% |
| 2015-16 | Berkeley City College | $65 \%$ | $80 \%$ | 2015-16 | BIOL | $76 \%$ | 85 \% |




| College |
| :--- | :--- |
| Berkeley City College $\quad \checkmark$ |



| Subject |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| CHEM | $\checkmark$ |


| Catalog No. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| All | $\checkmark$ |

Academic Year
2017-18

| Completion \& Retention Rates by Subject |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Year | Subject | Completion Rate | Retention Rate |
| 2017-18 | CHEM | 66 \% | 75 \% |




| Age Range <br> Age Range | Tu Graded | Completions | Completion Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 15 or younger | 5 | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| $16-18$ | 61 | 47 | $77 \%$ |
| $19-24$ | 408 | 256 | $63 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 134 | 88 | $66 \%$ |
| $30-34$ | 56 | 47 | $84 \%$ |
| $35-54$ | 46 | 25 | $54 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | 2 | 1 | $50 \%$ |
| $65 \&$ Above | 1 | 1 | $100 \%$ |


| Ethnicity <br> Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Tun Graded | Completions | Completion Rate |  |
| American Indian | 5 | 3 | $60 \%$ |
| Asian | 239 | 179 | $75 \%$ |
| Black / African American | 83 | 46 | $55 \%$ |
| Hispanic / Latino | 151 | 85 | $56 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 3 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Two or More | 56 | 28 | $50 \%$ |
| Unknown / NR | 15 | 7 | $47 \%$ |
| White | 161 | 122 | $76 \%$ |



| College | Semester |  | Subject | Catalog No. |  |  |  | Academic Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berkeley City College $\checkmark$ | All | $\checkmark$ GEOG | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 2017-18 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | Completion \& Retention Rates by College |  |  |  | Completion \& Retention Rates by Subject |  |  |  |  |
|  | Academic Year | College | Completion Rate | Retention Rate | Academic Year Subject | Completion Rate | Retention Rate |  |  |
|  | 2017-18 | Berkeley City College | 66 \% | 79 \% | 2017-18 GEOG | 59 \% | 75 \% |  |  |



Ethnicity

- Asian
- Black / African A.
- Hispanic / Latino - Pacifici Islander
- Two or More - Unknown / NR - White


| Age Range <br> Age Range | Tul Graded | Completions | Completion Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 15 or younger | 1 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| $16-18$ | 25 | 14 | $56 \%$ |
| $19-24$ | 291 | 155 | $53 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 48 | 35 | $73 \%$ |
| $30-34$ | 16 | 15 | $94 \%$ |
| $35-54$ | 21 | 14 | $67 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | 3 | 3 | $100 \%$ |


| Gender |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Tul Graded | Completions | - Completion Rate |
| Unknown | 17 | 11 | 65 \% |
| Female | 183 | 111 | 61 \% |
| Male | 205 | 115 | 56 \% |


| Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | TuI Graded | Completions | Completion Rate |
| Asian | 83 | 44 | $53 \%$ |
| Black / African American | 46 | 22 | $48 \%$ |
| Hispanic / Latino | 115 | 65 | $57 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| Two or More | 31 | 20 | $65 \%$ |
| Unknown / NR | 10 | 9 | $90 \%$ |
| White | 119 | 76 | $64 \%$ |



| Academic Year |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| All | $\checkmark$ |



| College |
| :--- | :--- |
| Berkeley City College $\quad \checkmark$ |


| Semester |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| All | $\vee$ |


| Subject |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| GEOG | $\checkmark$ |


| Catalog No. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| All | $\checkmark$ |


| Academic Year |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| All | $\checkmark$ |



| College |
| :--- | :--- |
| Berkeley City College $\quad \checkmark$ |


| Semester |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| All | $\vee$ |


| Subject |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| GEOL | $\checkmark$ |


| Catalog No. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| All | $\checkmark$ |

Academic Year 2017-18

|  <br> Academic Year |  |  |  |  | Subject | Completion <br> Rate | Retention <br> Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | GEOL | $64 \%$ | $77 \%$ |  |  |  |  |



| Ethnicity <br> Ethnicity | TUG Graded | Completions | Completion Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Asian | 28 | 22 | $79 \%$ |
| Black / African American | 16 | 8 | $50 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | 25 | 12 | $48 \%$ |
| Two or More | 7 | 7 | $100 \%$ |
| Unknown / NR | 4 | 2 | $50 \%$ |
| White | 40 | 26 | $65 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| College |  | Semester | Subject |  | Catalog No . |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berkeley City College | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ GEOL | $\checkmark$ | All | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Completion \& Retention Rates by College |  |  |  | Completion \& Retention Rates by Subject |  |  |  |
|  |  | Academic Year | College | Completion Rate | Retention Rate | Academic Year | Subject | Completion Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Retention } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 2017-18 | Berkeley City College | 66 \% | 79 \% | 2017-18 | GEOL | 64 \% | 77 \% |
|  |  | 2016-17 | Berkeley City College | 66 \% | $80 \%$ | 2016-17 | GEOL | 56 \% | $80 \%$ |
|  |  | 2015-16 | Berkeley City College | 65 \% | $80 \%$ | 2015-16 | GEOL | 62 \% | 86 \% |



| College | Semester | Subject | Catalog No . | Academic Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berkeley City College $\checkmark$ | All $\quad$, | PHYS $\checkmark$ | All $\quad$ | 2017-18 V |


| Completion \& Retention Rates by College |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Year | College | Completion Rate | Retention Rate |
| 2017-18 | Berkeley City College | 66 \% | 79 \% |


| Completion \& Retention Rates by Subject <br> Academic Year |  |  |  |  | Subject | Completion <br> Rate | Retention <br> Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | PHYS | $92 \%$ | $94 \%$ |  |  |  |  |$|$










Consider your course completion rates over the past three years (\% of student who earned a grade of "C" or better).

Use the filters on the top and right of the graphs to disaggregate your program or discipline data. When disaggregated, are there any groups whose course completion rate falls more than $3 \%$ points below the discipline average? If so, indicate yes and explain what your department is doing to address the disproportionate impact for the group.

## Age

ASTR: Age range 30-34 Completion rate is $77 \%$. This is well below $86.33 \%$
BIOL: Age range 15 or younger is $50 \%$ and $55-64$ is $25 \%$. This is well below $69.84 \%$
CHEM: Age range $19-24$ is $63 \%$; $35-54$ is $54 \%$; $55-64$ is $50 \%$
GEOG: Age range 16-18 and 19-24 completion rate is 56 and $53 \%$ respectively.
GEOL: Age range 19-24 Completion rate is $56 \%$. This is below $62.08 \%$
PHYS: Age range 15 or younger is $50 \%$ and $45-54$ is $83 \%$. This is below $89.24 \%$
PHYSC: None

Ethnicity
ASTR: "Two or more" Completion rate is 83\%
BIOL: Black/African American Completion rate is $49 \%$; Hispanic/Latino rate is $64 \%$ Pacific Islander rate is $67 \%$
CHEM: American Indian is $60 \%$; Black/African American is $55 \%$; Black/African American rate is $56 \%$ Pacific
Islander rate is $0 \%$; Two or more and Unknown are at 50 and $47 \%$ respectively.
GEOG: Asian, and Black/African American completion rate is 53 and $48 \%$ respectively.
GEOL: Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino completion rate is 50 and $48 \%$ respectively.
PHYS: White completion rate is $83 \%$

PHYSC: Black/African American, and Hispanic/Latino completion rate is 70 and $86 \%$ respectively.
In most disciplines the underrepresented minorities have a lower completion rate. In Fall 2019 we will start a pilot program with UCB CSUEB Biology majors that addresses equity gaps.

## Gender

ASTR: None
BIOL: None
CHEM: All genders are at $66 \%$
GEOG: Male are at $56 \%$ slightly under $57.23 \%$
GEOL: Males are slightly below $62.08 \%$
PHYS: None
PHYSC: None

Foster Youth Status
ASTR: 50\% (2 students)
BIOL: 54\% (14 students)
CHEM: $77.7 \%$ (10 students)
GEOG: $44.7 \%$ (7 students)
GEOL: 100\% (1 students)
PHYS: 75\% (8 students)
PHYSC: 0\% (2 students)

## Disability Status

```
ASTR: 61% (12 students)
BIOL: 69.6% (123 students)
CHEM: 53.3% (78 students)
GEOG: 53.7% (62 students)
GEOL: 50.7% (13 students)
PHYS: 89% (24 students)
PHYSC: 95.3% (15 students)
```

Low Income Status

```
ASTR: 86% (302 students)
BIOL: 72.7% (1850 students)
CHEM: 60% (1222 students)
GEOG: 62.3% (710 students)
GEOL: 62.3% (163 students)
PHYS: 85.3% (410 students)
PHYSC: 86.7% (185 students)
```


## Veteran Status

```
ASTR: 100% (5 students)
BIOL: 78.3% (36 students)
CHEM: 59.7% (33 students)
GEOG: 77% (22 students)
GEOL: 81.3% (8 students)
PHYS: 85% (8 students)
```

PHYSC: 100\% (3 students)

Consider your course completion rates over the past three years by mode of instruction. What do you observe?

Face-to-Face
BCC: 65.6\%
ASTR: $87.7 \%$
BIOL: 75\%
CHEM: 64\%
GEOG: 65\%
GEOL: 60.7\%
PHYS: $87.7 \%$

Hybrid
PHYSC: 88\%

100\% Online
Does not exist

Dual Enrollment
Does not exist

Day time

```
ASTR: No day classes
BIOL: 73\%
CHEM: 62.3\%
GEOG: 65\%
GEOL: 65\% (No evening classes)
PHYS: 85\%
PHYSC: No day classes
```


## Evening

```
ASTR: 87.7% (All evening classes)
BIOL: 78.3%
CHEM: 73%
GEOG: 65%
GEOL: No evening classes
```

```
PHYS: 93\%
```

PHYSC: 88\% (All evening classes)

How do the course completion rates for your program or discipline compare to your college's InstitutionSet Standard for course completion?

```
BCC completion rate over the last 3 years is 65.6%
ASTR completion rate is 87.7%
BIOL: completion rate is 75%
CHEM: completion rate is 64%
GEOG: completion rate is 65%
GEOL: completion rate is 60.7%
PHYS: completion rate is 87.7%
PHYSC: completion rate is 88%
```

How do the department's Hybrid course completion rates compare to the college course completion standard?

The overall department completion rate over the last 3 years is $84.8 \%$ well above the completion rate of the college (65.6\%).

Are there differences in course completion rates between face to face and Distance Education/hybrid courses? If so, how does the discipline, department or program deal with this situation? How do you assess the overall effectiveness of Distance Education/hybrid course?

## NA

Describe the course retention rates over the last three years. If your college has an Institution-Set Standard for course retention, how does your program or discipline course retention rates compare to the standard?

BCC Retention rate over the last 3 years is $\mathbf{7 9 . 6 \%}$
ASTR completion rate is $93.7 \%$
BIOL: completion rate is $84 \%$
CHEM: completion rate is $74 \%$
GEOG: completion rate is $80 \%$
GEOL: completion rate is $81 \%$
PHYS: completion rate is $91.3 \%$
PHYSC: completion rate is $98 \%$

What has the discipline, department, or program done to improve course completion and retention rates?
Our retention rate as a department has an $86 \%$ retention rate that is well above the retention rate of BCC (79.6\%)

## Degrees \& Certificates Conferred



What has the discipline, department, or program done to improve the number of degrees and certificates awarded? Include the number of degrees and certificates awarded by year, for the past three years.

In the Sciences we have one BIO-AS-T degree. In Biotech we have an AS, CA, CP and a Certificate in Stem Cell Biology. In Biology overall the degrees and certificates grew from 3 to 38 over 5 years
In Chemistry we have The Analytical Chemistry AS degree Over the last 2 years the degrees awarded grew from 1 to 10 .
Over the next 3 years, will you be focusing on increasing the number of degrees and certificates awarded?
Yes. We are planning to increase the number of AS-T degrees in Geography and Engineering.
What is planned for the next 3 years to increase the number of certificates and degrees awarded?
The college should invest in a program that tracks every student and should award any student that passes the courses of the different programs. Right now, the student needs to petition for the degrees. This is today an old fashion method.

## Engagement

Discuss how faculty and staff have engaged in institutional efforts such as committees, presentations, and departmental activities. Please list the committees that full-time faculty participate in.

Pieter de Haan serves in the PIE committee and is Co-chair of the department.
Barbara Des Rochers serves in the curriculum committee and roundtable.
Siraj Omar serves on the tech committee is Co-chair of the department.
Sam Gillett Serves as VP at the Academic Senate and serves on the facilities committee
Francisco Monsalve Santa serves as the Academic Senate Science representative.
Discuss how faculty and staff have engaged in community activities, partnerships and/or collaborations.
We are involved in outreach to local High Schools and Middle Schools and we are connected with faculty at UC Berkeley and Cal State University East Bay with the following grant:
Faculty and staff representing UC Berkeley (UCB), California State University East Bay (CSUEB), and Berkeley City College (BCC) propose to address equity gaps while improving our campuses' collective understanding of learning science.
Discuss how adjunct faculty members are included in departmental training, discussions, and decisionmaking.

All Faculty in the Science department are involved in Hazardous Waste and Fire training.
All Faculty are involved in assessment of their courses.

## Prioritized Resource Requests Summary

In the boxes below, please add resource requests for your program. If there are no resource requested, leave the boxes blank.

| Resource Category | Description/Justification | Estimated <br> Annual <br> Salary <br> Costs | Estimated <br> Annual <br> Benefits <br> Costs | Total <br> Estimated <br> Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Personnel: Classified Staff | 1 full-time classified bio <br> technician <br> Dish washer (part-time) <br> $500 h r s @ \$ 15.25$ | $\$ 70,630 / \mathrm{yr}$ |  | $\$ 78,260 / \mathrm{yr}$ |


| Personnel: Student Worker | Biology <br> $60 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{wk}$ x 35 wks @ \$15/hr Chemistry <br> 36 hrs/wk x 35 wks @ \$15/hr <br> Geography <br> 9 hrs/wk x 35 wks @ $\$ 15 / \mathrm{hr}$ <br> Physics <br> 9 hrs/wk x 35 wks @ \$15/hr | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 31,500 / \mathrm{yr} \\ & \$ 18,900 / \mathrm{yr} \\ & \$ 4,725 / \mathrm{yr} \\ & \$ 4,725 / \mathrm{yr} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$59,850/yr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personnel: Part Time Faculty |  |  |  |
| Personnel: Full Time Faculty | Release Time for the <br> Director of the <br> Biotechnology program <br> should be at least $75 \%$ ! <br> Geography <br> Engineering |  |  |
| Resource Category | Description/Justification |  | Total Estimated Cost |
| Professional Development: Department wide PD needed | For the sciences this means sabbaticals to work in research areas that contribute to updating knowledge and understanding of latest technologies - which translates into improved and updated information passed on to students and student success in the workplace. |  |  |
| Professional Development: Personal/Individual PD needed |  |  |  |
| Supplies: Software | Courslets.org |  | Free |
| Supplies: Books, Magazines, and/or Periodicals | Science <br> Nature |  | \$360 |
| Supplies: Instructional Supplies | Geography/Geology: Kits and demos |  | \$1,000 |
| Supplies: Non-Instructional Supplies |  |  |  |
| Supplies: Library Collections |  |  |  |
| Technology \& Equipment: New | Sonicator <br> 3D printer for tissue engineering <br> 3 Dissecting Microscopes |  |  |
| Technology \& Equipment: Replacement | Desktop computers in labs 518, 514, and 513 need to be replaced with laptops because the desktop computers block part of the white board to the students. <br> MAINTENANCE CONTRACTS: |  | \$5,000 |


|  | Fume hoods, autoclave, microscopes, pipetman | \$15,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facilities: Classrooms | Science is in need of more dedicated classrooms e.g. Geography needs certain supplements in classrooms. They are now wheeled around. |  |
| Facilities: Offices | Science is in need of more office space for the adjunct faculty. Supplies: Printer cartridges HP B/W + color | \$400 |
| Facilities: Labs | More Labs are needed for Biology, Biotechnology, Chemistry, Geography, Geology, and in the future for Engineering. |  |
| Facilities: Other | Study space is needed for students where they can access scientific publications and where tutor session can be held. We envision a Science Learning Center. |  |
| Library: Library materials |  |  |
| Library: Library collections |  |  |
| Resource Category | Description/Justification | Total Estimated Cost |
| Marketing | BCC needs a full time Marketing Specialist if any of the specialty programs are to survive. For example, in Northern California there are many biotechnology programs a student can select to attend - there are several in the Peralta District alone (PCCD is the only multi-college district in the State of California where this exists). The faculty cannot carry the burden of developing the programs, coursework, maintaining their disciplines, upgrading experiments, counseling students, assisting students locate jobs AND advertising |  |

