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## 2. Narrative Description of the Discipline, Department or Program:

As written in the Berkeley City College Educational and Resources Plans for the Years 2001-2016, the mission of the Modern Languages program is to provide courses leading to the following: an Associate of Arts degree in Spanish and a certificate of completion Spanish; transfer to a university; the general requirements for the A.A. and A.S. degrees or transfer; and lifelong learning.

The Modern Languages program is a strong and vibrant area of studies at Berkeley City College. The program offers a complete range of lower division courses in Spanish and an Spanish Associate of Arts Degree, Spanish Associate in Arts for Transfer Degree, Spanish Language for Heritage Speakers Associate in Arts Degree, Spanish Language for Heritage Speakers Certificate of Achievement, Spanish Medical Interpreter Certificate of Achievement and finally Spanish Certificate of Completion (it can be done completely online). It has approximately $46 \%$ of the total of students studying Spanish in the district: BCC 166.01 total Full-time Equivalent Students (FTES), PCCD 362.40 total FTES and more than 35\% of the total of students studying languages in the district: BCC 235.76 Full-time Equivalent Students (FTES), PCCD 648.69 FTES (Spring, summer and fall 2015). The Spanish Language for Heritage Speakers Certificate of Achievement can be completed entirely online.

The Modern Languages Department consistently offers not only introductory courses in Spanish, Portuguese, Arabic French and Chinese but also intermediate level courses such as Spanish 2a and $2 b$, Spanish 15, 38, 39 and 40.

The Spanish program offers the possibility of studying abroad during the summer. The Study Abroad program provides students with the opportunity to experience and gain appreciation of Spanish or Hispanic culture while studying the language. The intensive language courses meet five days a week and are offered usually Spain and Mexico every year.

The program also prepares its students for transferring to a four-year institution and completing a Spanish major. It offers the foreign language component required by many institutions for transfer students and helps them to acquire a level of Spanish proficiency necessary for careers
that emphasize the value of familiarity with diverse cultures and global issues. The courses Spanish $1 \mathrm{a}, 1 \mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{a}$ and 2 b are fully articulated with the University of California at Berkeley. The only prerequisite for upper-division work in Spanish at Berkeley not offered at BCC is Spanish 25: Reading and Literary Analysis. The Spanish program also offers a Spanish Medical Interpreter Certificate of Achievement. The SMICA is designed to train bilingual/bi-cultural students to become linguistically and culturally competent interpreters who can function effectively and efficiently in health care settings. Through academic preparation, practical skills training, and service in community based health care settings, students learn roles and responsibilities of a health care interpreter; basic knowledge of common medical conditions, treatments, and procedures; insight into language and cultural nuances for specific communities; and application of interpreting skills in English and Spanish.

TERM COURSES BCC all Languages 2015

| TERM | CATALOG | SUB | SECT | CENSUS | ENRL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FTES } \\ & \text { RESD } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTES } \\ & \text { TOTL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTEF } \\ & \text { CONT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTEF } \\ & \text { TEMP } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTEF } \\ & \text { TOTL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AVG } \\ \text { ENRL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AVG } \\ & \text { FTES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AVG } \\ \text { FTEF } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PROD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 15 | ARAB:1A | ARAB | 1 | 40 | 40 | 6 | 6.67 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 40 | 6.67 | 0.33 | 20 |
|  | CHIN:1 | CHIN | 1 | 30 | 30 | 3.67 | 5 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 30 | 5 | 0.33 | 15 |
|  | FREN:1A | FREN | 2 | 68 | 68 | 9.33 | 11.33 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 34 | 5.67 | 0.33 | 17 |
|  | FREN:1B | FREN | 1 | 20 | 21 | 2.83 | 3.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 21 | 3.33 | 0.33 | 10 |
|  | PORT:1A | PORT | 1 | 40 | 40 | 5.5 | 6.67 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 40 | 6.67 | 0.33 | 20 |
|  | SPAN:10B | SPAN | 1 | 21 | 21 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 21 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 10.5 |
|  | SPAN:1A | SPAN | 7 | 241 | 241 | 37.67 | 40.17 | 0 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 34 | 5.74 | 0.33 | 17.22 |
|  | SPAN:1B | SPAN | 2 | 52 | 52 | 8 | 8.67 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 26 | 4.33 | 0.33 | 13 |
|  | SPAN:22A | SPAN | 1 | 32 | 32 | 5.17 | 5.33 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 32 | 5.33 | 0.33 | 16 |
|  | SPAN:2A | SPAN | 2 | 48 | 48 | 7.67 | 8 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 24 | 4 | 0.33 | 12 |
|  | SPAN:2B | SPAN | 1 | 20 | 20 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 20 | 3.33 | 0.33 | 10 |
|  | SPAN:35B | SPAN | 1 | 26 | 26 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 26 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 13 |
|  | SPAN:38 | SPAN | 1 | 28 | 28 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 28 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 14 |
| Spring 15 | ARAB:1A | ARAB | 1 | 38 | 38 | 6.17 | 6.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 38 | 6.33 | 0.33 | 19 |
|  | ARAB:1B | ARAB | 1 | 27 | 27 | 4.33 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 27 | 4.5 | 0.33 | 13.5 |
|  | FREN:1A | FREN | 1 | 37 | 37 | 5.5 | 6.17 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 37 | 6.17 | 0.33 | 18.5 |
|  | FREN:1B | FREN | 1 | 33 | 33 | 4.83 | 5.5 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 33 | 5.5 | 0.33 | 16.5 |
|  | PORT:1A | PORT | 1 | 31 | 31 | 4.17 | 5.17 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 31 | 5.17 | 0.33 | 15.5 |
|  | SPAN:10A | SPAN | 1 | 32 | 32 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 32 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 16 |
|  | SPAN:11 | SPAN | 1 | 18 | 18 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 18 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 9 |
|  | SPAN:1A | SPAN | 7 | 213 | 213 | 33.17 | 35.5 | 0 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 30 | 5.07 | 0.33 | 15.22 |
|  | SPAN:1B | SPAN | 3 | 91 | 91 | 14 | 15.17 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 1 | 30 | 5.06 | 0.33 | 15.17 |
|  | SPAN:2A | SPAN | 2 | 56 | 56 | 8.33 | 9.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 28 | 4.67 | 0.33 | 14 |
|  | SPAN:2B | SPAN | 1 | 22 | 22 | 3.5 | 3.67 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 22 | 3.67 | 0.33 | 11 |
|  | SPAN:35B | SPAN | 1 | 27 | 27 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 27 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 13.5 |
|  | SPAN:49 | SPAN | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Summer 15 | ARAB:1A | ARAB | 1 | 19 | 19 | 3.09 | 3.26 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 19 | 3.26 | 0.33 | 9.77 |
|  | FREN:1A | FREN | 1 | 34 | 34 | 4.8 | 5.83 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 34 | 5.83 | 0.33 | 17.49 |
|  | SPAN:101 | SPAN | 1 | 24 | 24 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 24 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 12 |
|  | SPAN:1A | SPAN | 2 | 51 | 51 | 7.89 | 8.74 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 25 | 4.37 | 0.33 | 13.12 |
|  | SPAN:1B | SPAN | 1 | 30 | 30 | 4.67 | 5 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 30 | 5 | 0.33 | 15 |
|  | SPAN:22A | SPAN | 1 | 33 | 33 | 5.17 | 5.5 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 33 | 5.5 | 0.33 | 16.5 |
| Grand Total |  |  | 51 | 1483 | 1484 | 215.47 | 235.76 | 2.53 | 13.2 | 15.73 | 29 | 4.62 | 0.31 | 14.99 |



| Summer 15 | SPAN:30B | SPAN | 1 | 18 | 18 | 1.81 | 1.92 | 18 | 1.92 | 0.2 | 9.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPAN:35B | SPAN | 1 | 27 | 27 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 27 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 13.5 |
|  | SPAN:49 | SPAN | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | VIET:1A | VIET | 2 | 77 | 77 | 12.67 | 12.83 | 77 | 12.83 | 0.33 | 38.5 |
|  | ARAB:1A | ARAB | 1 | 19 | 19 | 3.09 | 3.26 | 19 | 3.26 | 0.33 | 9.77 |
|  | CHIN:1 | CHIN | 2 | 54 | 54 | 9.51 | 9.87 | 27 | 4.94 | 0.34 | 14.41 |
|  | FREN:1A | FREN | 1 | 34 | 34 | 4.8 | 5.83 | 34 | 5.83 | 0.33 | 17.49 |
|  | JAPAN:1A | JAPAN | 1 | 47 | 47 | 8.23 | 8.59 | 47 | 8.59 | 0.34 | 25.08 |
|  | JAPAN:50A | JAPAN | 1 | 16 | 16 | 1.6 | 1.83 | 16 | 1.83 | 0.21 | 8.91 |
|  | SPAN:101 | SPAN | 1 | 24 | 24 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 24 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 12 |
|  | SPAN:1A | SPAN | 6 | 193 | 193 | 30.14 | 33.04 | 32 | 5.51 | 0.34 | 16.37 |
|  | SPAN:1B | SPAN | 3 | 85 | 85 | 13 | 14.17 | 28 | 4.72 | 0.34 | 14.06 |
|  | SPAN:22A | SPAN | 4 | 58 | 58 | 8.67 | 9.67 | 29 | 4.83 | 0.33 | 14.5 |
| Grand Total |  |  | 147 | 4082 | 4073 | 594.16 | 648.69 | 30 | 4.81 | 0.31 | 15.38 |

## 3. Curriculum:

Please answer the following questions and/or insert your most recent curriculum review report (within the past 3 years) here.

|  |  | WHEN ARE YOU <br> PLANNING TO <br> CLASS LAST <br> REVIEWED OR <br> UPDATED? | UPDATE THE <br> COURSE? <br> Please indicate the <br> semester. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | NOTES


| SPAN 010A Advanced Spanish <br> Conversation | $3 / 6 / 2014$ | $11 / 30 / 2017$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| SPAN 010B Advanced Spanish <br> Conversation | $3 / 6 / 2014$ | $11 / 30 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 011-Spanish for the <br> Medical/Health Professions | $09 / 19 / 2007$ | $11 / 30 / 2015$ |  |
| SPAN 012 Spanish for the <br> Business Professions | $09 / 19 / 2007$ |  | This course will be <br> deactivated |
| SPAN 015-Spanish Composition | $3 / 6 / 2014$ | $11 / 30 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 022A-Spanish for <br> Bilingual Speakers I | $3 / 6 / 2014$ | $11 / 30 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 022B-Spanish for <br> Bilingual Speakers II | $3 / 6 / 2014$ | $11 / 30 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 035A-Intermediate <br> Conversational Spanish: <br> Current Events | $3 / 6 / 2014$ | $11 / 30 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 035B-Intermediate <br> Conversational Spanish: Film | $3 / 6 / 2014$ | $11 / 30 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 038-Latin American <br> Literature | $3 / 6 / 2014$ | $11 / 30 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 039-Latin American <br> Novel | $3 / 6 / 2014$ | $11 / 30 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 040-Hispanic Civilization <br> and Culture | $3 / 6 / 2014$ | $11 / 30 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 070 Spanish Medical <br> Terminology | $3 / 5 / 2015$ | $3 / 5 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 071 Spanish Medical <br> Interpreting I | $3 / 19 / 2015$ | $3 / 5 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 072 Spanish Medical <br> Interpreting II | $03 / 05 / 2015$ | $3 / 5 / 2017$ |  |
| SPAN 101-Basic Spanish for the <br> Education Profession | $11 / 20 / 2008$ | $11 / 30 / 2015$ |  |

## 4. Assessment:

Please answer the following questions and attach the TaskStream "At a Glance" report for your discipline, department, or program for the past three years. Please review the "At a Glance" reports and answer the following questions.

## Questions:

How does your discipline, department or program ensure that students are aware of the learning outcomes of the courses and instructional programs in which they are enrolled? Where are your discipline, department or program course and program SLOs published? (For example: syllabi, catalog, department website, etc. If they are on a website, please include a live link to the page where they can be found)

Briefly describe at least three of the most significant changes/improvements your discipline, department or program made in the past three years as a response to course and program assessment results. Please state the course number or program name and assessment cycle (year) for each example and attach the data from the "Status Report" section of TaskStream for these findings.

Improvement 1.

Improvement 2.

Improvement 3.

Briefly describe three of the most significant examples of your discipline, department or program plans for course and /or program level improvement for the next three years as result of what you learned during the assessment process. Please state the course number or program name and attach the data from the "Assessment Findings and Action Plan" section for each example.

Plan 1.

Plan 2.

Plan 3.
Describe how assessment results for Distance Education courses and/or programs compare to the results for the corresponding face-to-face classes.

Describe assessment results for courses with multiple sections. Are there similar results in each section?

Describe your discipline, department or program participation in assessment of institutional level outcomes (ILOs).

How are your course and/or program level outcomes aligned with the institutional level outcomes? Please describe and attach the "Goal Alignment Summary" from TaskStream.

| COURSES | Data available from previous rounds? | Action <br> Plan <br> from <br> Previous <br> Rounds? | Notes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | Spring $2016$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summer } \\ & \text { 2016* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summer } \\ & \text { 2017* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } \\ & 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Spring $2018$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summer } \\ & \text { 2018* }^{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | When will the action plan be created? | Status <br> Report? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARAB 001A <br> Elementary <br> Modern <br> Standard <br> Arabic | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARAB 001B <br> Elementary <br> Modern <br> Standard <br> Arabic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHIN 001 <br> Elementary <br> Chinese <br> (Mandarin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FREN 001A <br> Elementary <br> French | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FREN 001B Elementary French | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PORT 001A <br> Elementary <br> Portuguese | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PORT 001B <br> Elementary <br> Portuguese |  |  | Feb 2016 Check-in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPAN 001A- <br> Elementary <br> Spanish | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPAN 001B- <br> Elementary Spanish | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPAN 002A- <br> Intermediate <br> Spanish | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPAN 002B- <br> Intermediate <br> Spanish | Y | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Courses | BCC Institutional Student Learning Outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Self Awareness and <br> Interpersonal Skills <br> Students will be able to analyze their own actions, see the perspectives of other persons, and work effectively with others in groups. | Computational <br> Skills <br> Students will master basic concepts, understand <br> their meanings, and apply them to simple concrete problems at each level of development and abstraction. Students will demonstrate algorithmic competence appropriate to each level. | Global <br> Awareness and Valuing Diversity <br> Students will be able to acknowledge and act with sensitivity toward the diverse customs, beliefs, and lifestyles that exist within the college community. | Ethics and Personal Responsibility Students will be <br> able to analyze <br> a situation and <br> understand the <br> consequences of actions <br> taken, as well <br> as their impact <br> on society and <br> self. Students <br> will <br> demonstrate <br> collaborative <br> involvement in community interests. | Communication <br> Students will be able to speak, read, and write clearly and effectively with appropriate diction and content for the intended audience. In addition, <br> students will be able to analyze communications for meaning, purpose, effectiveness, and logic. | Critical <br> Thinking <br>  <br> Students will be <br> able to identify <br> a problem or <br> argument, <br> isolate facts <br> related to the <br> argument, <br> generate <br> multiple <br> solutions to the <br> problem, <br> predict <br> consequences, <br> and use <br> evidence and <br> sound <br> reasoning to <br> justify a well <br> informed <br> position. | Information Competency <br> Students will be able to find, evaluate, use, and communicate information in all its various formats. |
| ARAB 001A |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |
| ARAB 001A-Elementary Modern Standard Arabic |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| ARAB 001B-Elementary Modern Standard Arabic |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| ARAB 30A Beginning Conversational Arabic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHIN 001-Elementary Chinese (Mandarin) |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| CHIN 040A-Conversational Chinese (Mandarin) |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| FREN 001A-Elementary French |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |
| FREN 001B-Elementary |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |



| Current Events |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAN 035B-Intermediate Conversational Spanish: Film |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| SPAN 038-Latin American Literature |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| SPAN 039-Latin American Novel |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| SPAN 040-Hispanic Civilization and Culture |  | X |  | X | X |  |
| SPAN 070 Spanish Medical Terminology |  | X |  | X | X |  |
| SPAN 071 Spanish Medical Interpreting I |  | X |  |  | X |  |
| SPAN 072 Spanish Medical Interpreting II | X | X | X | X |  | X |
| SPAN 101-Basic Spanish for the Education Profession | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| SPAN 201-Spanish for the Workplace |  | X |  | X |  |  |

## 5. Instruction:

## Describe effective and innovative strategies used by faculty to involve students in the learning process.

Instructors in the department are active members of the foreign language instructional community at the San Francisco Bay Area. The Chair of the Department is the president and member of the executive committee of the Foreign Language Association of Northern California (FLANC), member of the Computer Assisted Language Instruction Consortium (CALICO) and the South West Association for Language Learning Technology (SWALLT). Furthermore, most members of the department are members of FLANC, CALICO, RMMLA or/and SWALLT or similar associations. This keeps the members up-to-date with information on all relevant developments in the language acquisition discipline. The program applies several learning techniques base on Tracy D. Terrell's Natural Approach ${ }^{1}$ to Language Instruction, James Asher's Total Physical Response (TPR) and Stephen D. Krashen's theoretical model of second-language acquisition. These pedagogical techniques are being use today in universities programs such as the Spanish and Portuguese department at UC Berkeley for their effectiveness. The classes are taught completely in the target language so students learn through exposure and by interacting at a level they can understand (comprehensible input). Instructors offer to students audio and visual input to help them understand the materials naturally and without the use of English translations.

## How has new technology been used by the discipline, department or program to improve student learning?

The Spanish program has a language lab. An all-new state of the art mobile language lab was created in 2013. The labs offers support, learning resources (computers, software) and a space for the students to work on class projects and homework. The lab also has instructors and tutors to help students. The computers have installed an Auralog system. Auralog was a company that produced language education software under a brand called "Tell Me More". It was bought by Rosetta Stone in 2013.

Furthermore, the department offers hybrid and online courses, which combines online techniques with traditional teaching methodology. A hybrid class is a blend of face-to-face instruction with online learning. In a hybrid course, a significant part of the course learning is online and as a result, the amount of classroom seat-time is reduced and focused on putting the grammar into practice. The program is investing heavily in hybrid classes, following the recommendation to the Peralta Community College District (PCCD) by the Chuck McIntyre Report (2008)

[^0]Because of the character of its students, the hybrid model should be the preferred PCCD online course style where all classes have some or more face-to-face (FTF) meetings with the requisite TLC for struggling students and the opportunity to chat with faculty and join a community of student colleagues exists. Most research shows (rather logically) that student retention in hybrids is typically at least 10 percentage points higher than in the completely remote "100\%" online versions of the same offerings.
Chuck McIntyre Report, from "Findings and Conclusions" p. 11
Even though the Spanish classes continue to use the traditional approaches to second language acquisition, considerable online innovation had been implemented. All the traditional language (on campus) classes use our LMS Moodle, to enhance the course traditional structure and to add to the students learning experience. An online language resources site called "Spanish tutoring" is available to faculty and students since 2012 (http://eberkeley.org/resources/enrol/index.php?id=7). Instructors have been using for some time online resources, forums and online material prepared by the instructor and delivered through Moodle. Furthermore, all the language books have a welldeveloped online learning center. In our new BCC's building and since the classrooms are designed as smart classrooms having in-class Internet capabilities, instructors are incorporating technology into their delivery.

The department is also offering a certificate entirely online, the Spanish Language for Heritage Speakers Certificate of Achievement

How does the discipline, department, or program maintain the integrity and consistency of academic standards with all methods of delivery, including face to face, hybrid, and Distance Education courses?

Spanish instructors follow course outlines conscientiously so that any student who takes a Spanish course at Berkeley City College will have the same course content and meet the same course objectives regardless of the instructor or time and day of the course or if the course is online or F2F. When the department reviews the course outlines, they review the performance objectives as part of that process and then undergo review by the Curriculum Committee as well. In the event of changes in articulation agreements, the department revises the course outlines to reflect them. Because most courses are multiple sections, instructors and Department chair meet every semester to ensure consistency. The faculty establishes performance objectives as part of the SLOs and course outline development. Individual instructors assess student work as a normal process in determining a grade for the students in each course. Furthermore, all instructors are evaluated every 3 years.

How do you ensure that Distance Education classes have the same level of rigor as the corresponding face-to-face classes?

The department endorses the:

- Guide to Evaluating DE and CE (ACCJC)
http://www.accjc.org/wpcontent/uploads/2013/08/Guide_to_Evaluating_DE_and_CE_2013.pdf
- Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (ACCJC), Western Association of Schools and Colleges, Distance Learning Manual (August 2008) http://www.accjc.org/pdf/Distance_Learning_Manual_August_2008.pdf
- Chancellor’s Office California Community Colleges, Distance Education Guidelines (2008 Omnibus Version)
http://extranet.cccco.edu/Portals/1/AA/DE/de_guidelines_081408.pdf
- Chancellor's Office California Community Colleges, Distance Education: Access Guidelines for Students with Disabilities (August 1999)
http://extranet.cccco.edu/Portals/1/AA/DE/2011DistanceEducationAccessibilityGuidelines\  FINAL.pdf

For each online class the department ensures that:

- The class offered online is an effective means of providing accessible, high quality education to some students;
- Online courses replicate the equivalent traditional course in terms of student learning outcomes, course goals and objectives, and academic rigor;
- Online instructors have the same academic credentials and training as classroom instructors;
- Effective online teaching requires additional, and different, skill-sets from those employed in the traditional classroom; thus faculty who choose to teach online should have formal training in online teaching practices and technology;
- Online course sizes correspond to traditional course size for the same or similar courses;
- Technical support and student services support are available to students in static or dynamic form (provided by the DE support group);
- Online courses require that students be more self-directed and better time managers than do traditional courses;
- Accessibility issues are appropriately addressed in all aspects of the course. ${ }^{2}$

These ideas are inspired by the "Online Educational White Paper" CSU.
http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/reports/documents/Online_Education_White_Pa per.pdf

Briefly discuss the enrollment trends of your discipline, department or program. Include the following:

[^1]Overall enrollment trends in the past three years

| TERM | CATALOG | SUB | SECT | CENSU S | ENRL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTES } \\ & \text { RESD } \end{aligned}$ | FTES TOTL | $\begin{gathered} \text { FTEF } \\ \text { CONT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FTEF } \\ \text { TEMP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTEF } \\ & \text { TOTL } \end{aligned}$ | AVG ENRL | AVG FTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { AVG } \\ \text { FTEF } \end{gathered}$ | PROD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring 13 | SPAN:10A | SPAN | 1 | 25 | 25 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 25 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 12.50 |
|  | SPAN:1A | SPAN | 11 | 365 | 365 | 57.83 | 60.83 | 0 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 33 | 5.53 | 0.33 | 16.59 |
|  | SPAN:1B | SPAN | 3 | 116 | 116 | 18.17 | 19.33 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 1 | 38 | 6.44 | 0.33 | 19.34 |
|  | SPAN:22A | SPAN | 1 | 34 | 34 | 5.33 | 5.67 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 34 | 5.67 | 0.33 | 17.00 |
|  | SPAN:2A | SPAN | 2 | 42 | 42 | 6.67 | 7 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 21 | 3.5 | 0.33 | 10.50 |
|  | SPAN:2B | SPAN | 1 | 27 | 27 | 4.33 | 4.5 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 27 | 4.5 | 0.33 | 13.50 |
|  | SPAN:30A | SPAN | 1 | 25 | 25 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 25 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 12.50 |
|  | SPAN:35B | SPAN | 1 | 29 | 29 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 29 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 14.50 |
|  | SPAN:38 | SPAN | 1 | 28 | 28 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 28 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 14.00 |
|  | SPAN:48UD | SPAN | 1 | 29 | 29 | 0.93 | 0.99 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 29 | 0.99 | 0.07 | 14.91 |
|  | SPAN:71 | SPAN | 1 | 23 | 23 | 1.97 | 1.97 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 23 | 1.97 | 0.2 | 9.86 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 743 | 743 | 104.93 | 110.99 | 1.19 | 5.87 | 7.07 | 312 | 39.30 | 2.72 | 15.43 |
| Summer`13} & SPAN:1A & SPAN & 3 & 75 & 71 & 11.33 & 12.14 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 23 & 4.05 & 0.33 & 12.14 \\ \hline & SPAN:1B & SPAN & 2 & 41 & 45 & 6.83 & 6.83 & 0 & 0.67 & 0.67 & 22 & 3.42 & 0.33 & 10.25 \\ \hline & & & 5 & 116 & 116 & 18.16 & 18.97 & 0 & 1.67 & 1.67 & 45 & 7.47 & 0.66 & 11.38 \\ \hline \multirow{10}{*}{Fall 13} & SPAN:11 & SPAN & 1 & 21 & 21 & 1.83 & 1.92 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 21 & 1.92 & 0.2 & 9.60 \\ \hline & SPAN:1A & SPAN & 8 & 287 & 297 & 42.83 & 47.83 & 0 & 2.67 & 2.67 & 37 & 5.98 & 0.33 & 17.94 \\ \hline & SPAN:1B & SPAN & 3 & 77 & 78 & 11.5 & 12.83 & 0.33 & 0.67 & 1 & 26 & 4.28 & 0.33 & 12.83 \\ \hline & SPAN:22A & SPAN & 1 & 31 & 31 & 5 & 5.17 & 0 & 0.33 & 0.33 & 31 & 5.17 & 0.33 & 15.50 \\ \hline & SPAN:2A & SPAN & 2 & 42 & 43 & 6.67 & 7 & 0.33 & 0.33 & 0.67 & 21 & 3.5 & 0.33 & 10.50 \\ \hline & SPAN:2B & SPAN & 1 & 20 & 20 & 3.17 & 3.33 & 0.33 & 0 & 0.33 & 20 & 3.33 & 0.33 & 10.00 \\ \hline & SPAN:40 & SPAN & 1 & 38 & 38 & 3.1 & 3.8 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.2 & 38 & 3.8 & 0.2 & 19.00 \\ \hline & SPAN:70 & SPAN & 1 & 25 & 25 & 1.92 & 2 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 25 & 2 & 0.2 & 10.00 \\ \hline & SPAN:72 & SPAN & 1 & 16 & 16 & 1.37 & 1.37 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 16 & 1.37 & 0.2 & 6.86 \\ \hline & & & 19.00 & 557.00 & 569.00 & 77.39 & 85.25 & 1.19 & 4.60 & 5.80 & 235.00 & 31.35 & 2.45 & 14.42 \\ \hline \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{\|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline TERM & CATALOG & SUB & SECT & CENSUS & ENRL & FTES RESD & FTES TOTL & FTEF CONT & FTEF TEMP & FTEF TOTL & AVG ENRL & AVG FTES & AVG FTEF & PROD \\ \hline \multirow{12}{*}{Spring 14} & ARAB:1A & ARAB & 1 & 46 & 46 & 7 & 7.67 & 0 & 0.33 & 0.33 & 46 & 7.67 & 0.33 & 23.00 \\ \hline & FREN:1A & FREN & 1 & 34 & 34 & 5.17 & 5.67 & 0 & 0.33 & 0.33 & 34 & 5.67 & 0.33 & 17.00 \\ \hline & PORT:1A & PORT & 1 & 36 & 36 & 5.67 & 6 & 0 & 0.33 & 0.33 & 36 & 6 & 0.33 & 18.00 \\ \hline & SPAN:1A & SPAN & 8 & 274 & 274 & 41.5 & 45.67 & 0 & 2.67 & 2.67 & 34 & 5.71 & 0.33 & 17.13 \\ \hline & SPAN:1B & SPAN & 4 & 134 & 134 & 21.17 & 22.33 & 0.33 & 1 & 1.33 & 33 & 5.58 & 0.33 & 16.75 \\ \hline & SPAN:22A & SPAN & 1 & 33 & 33 & 4.33 & 5.5 & 0 & 0.33 & 0.33 & 33 & 5.5 & 0.33 & 16.50 \\ \hline & SPAN:2A & SPAN & 2 & 50 & 50 & 8 & 8.33 & 0.33 & 0.33 & 0.67 & 25 & 4.17 & 0.33 & 12.50 \\ \hline & SPAN:2B & SPAN & 1 & 26 & 26 & 4 & 4.33 & 0.33 & 0 & 0.33 & 26 & 4.33 & 0.33 & 13.00 \\ \hline & SPAN:35B & SPAN & 1 & 24 & 24 & 2.4 & 2.4 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 24 & 2.4 & 0.2 & 12.00 \\ \hline & SPAN:39 & SPAN & 1 & 18 & 18 & 1.6 & 1.8 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.2 & 18 & 1.8 & 0.2 & 9.00 \\ \hline & SPAN:49 & SPAN & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline & SPAN:71 & SPAN & 1 & 24 & 24 & 2.3 & 2.4 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 24 & 2.4 & 0.2 & 12.00 \\ \hline & & & 24.00 & 701.00 & 700.00 & 103.14 & 112.10 & 1.19 & 5.72 & 6.92 & 333.00 & 51.23 & 3.24 & 14.56 \\ \hline \multirow{6}{*}{Summer`14 | ARAB:1A | ARAB | 1 | 16 | 17 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 17 | 2.63 | 0.33 | 7.90 |
|  | FREN:1A | FREN | 1 | 32 | 34 | 3.79 | 5.27 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 34 | 5.27 | 0.33 | 15.80 |
|  | SPAN:101 | SPAN | 1 | 25 | 26 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 26 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 12.50 |
|  | SPAN:1A | SPAN | 2 | 62 | 71 | 9.26 | 10.63 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 35 | 5.31 | 0.33 | 15.94 |
|  | SPAN:1B | SPAN | 1 | 28 | 29 | 6.17 | 6.4 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 29 | 6.4 | 0.33 | 19.20 |
|  | SPAN:22A | SPAN | 1 | 26 | 28 | 4.17 | 4.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 28 | 4.33 | 0.33 | 13.00 |
|  |  |  | 7.00 | 189.00 | 205.00 | 28.52 | 31.76 | - | 2.19 | 2.19 | 169.00 | 26.44 | 1.85 | 14.33 |
| Fall 14 | ARAB:1A | ARAB | 1 | 35 | 35 | 5.33 | 5.83 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 35 | 5.83 | 0.33 | 17.50 |
|  | FREN:1A | FREN | 1 | 42 | 41 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 41 | 7 | 0.33 | 21.00 |
|  | FREN:1B | FREN | 1 | 23 | 23 | 3 | 3.83 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 23 | 3.83 | 0.33 | 11.50 |
|  | PORT:1A | PORT | 1 | 40 | 40 | 6.17 | 6.67 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 40 | 6.67 | 0.33 | 20.00 |
|  | SPAN:1A | SPAN | 7 | 225 | 227 | 34.33 | 37.5 | 0 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 32 | 5.36 | 0.33 | 16.07 |
|  | SPAN:1B | SPAN | 3 | 55 | 55 | 8.33 | 9.17 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 1 | 18 | 3.06 | 0.33 | 9.17 |
|  | SPAN:22A | SPAN | 1 | 20 | 20 | 2.67 | 3.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 20 | 3.33 | 0.33 | 10.00 |
|  | SPAN:2A | SPAN | 2 | 40 | 40 | 6.5 | 6.67 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 20 | 3.33 | 0.33 | 10.00 |
|  | SPAN:2B | SPAN | 1 | 19 | 19 | 3 | 3.17 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 19 | 3.17 | 0.33 | 9.50 |
|  | SPAN:38 | SPAN | 1 | 20 | 20 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 20 | 2 | 0.2 | 10.00 |
|  | SPAN:72 | SPAN | 1 | 22 | 22 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 22 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 11.00 |
|  |  |  | 20.00 | 541.00 | 542.00 | 79.13 | 87.37 | 1.19 | 5.18 | 6.38 | 290.00 | 45.78 | 3.37 | 13.53 |

| TERM | CATALOG | SUB | SECT | CENSUS | ENRL | FTES RESD | FTES TOTL | FTEF CONT | FTEF TEMP | FTEF TOTL | AVG ENRL | AVG FTES | AVG FTEF | PROD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring 15 | ARAB:1A | ARAB | 1 | 38 | 38 | 6.17 | 6.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 38 | 6.33 | 0.33 | 19.00 |
|  | ARAB:1B | ARAB | 1 | 27 | 27 | 4.33 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 27 | 4.5 | 0.33 | 13.50 |
|  | FREN:1A | FREN | 1 | 37 | 37 | 5.5 | 6.17 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 37 | 6.17 | 0.33 | 18.50 |
|  | FREN:1B | FREN | 1 | 33 | 33 | 4.83 | 5.5 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 33 | 5.5 | 0.33 | 16.50 |
|  | PORT:1A | PORT | 1 | 31 | 31 | 4.17 | 5.17 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 31 | 5.17 | 0.33 | 15.50 |
|  | SPAN:10A | SPAN | 1 | 32 | 32 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 32 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 16.00 |
|  | SPAN:11 | SPAN | 1 | 18 | 18 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 18 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 9.00 |
|  | SPAN:1A | SPAN | 7 | 213 | 213 | 33.17 | 35.5 | 0 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 30 | 5.07 | 0.33 | 15.22 |
|  | SPAN:1B | SPAN | 3 | 91 | 91 | 14 | 15.17 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 1 | 30 | 5.06 | 0.33 | 15.17 |
|  | SPAN:2A | SPAN | 2 | 56 | 56 | 8.33 | 9.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 28 | 4.67 | 0.33 | 14.00 |
|  | SPAN:2B | SPAN | 1 | 22 | 22 | 3.5 | 3.67 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 22 | 3.67 | 0.33 | 11.00 |
|  | SPAN:35B | SPAN | 1 | 27 | 27 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 27 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 13.50 |
|  | SPAN:49 | SPAN | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  | 21.00 | 625.00 | 625.00 | 91.00 | 99.04 | 0.99 | 5.58 | 6.58 | 353.00 | 53.84 | 3.57 | 14.88 |
| Summer`15 | ARAB:1A | ARAB | 1 | 19 | 19 | 3.09 | 3.26 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 19 | 3.26 | 0.33 | 9.77 |
|  | FREN:1A | FREN | 1 | 34 | 34 | 4.8 | 5.83 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 34 | 5.83 | 0.33 | 17.49 |
|  | SPAN:101 | SPAN | 1 | 24 | 24 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 24 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 12.00 |
|  | SPAN:1A | SPAN | 2 | 51 | 51 | 7.89 | 8.74 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 25 | 4.37 | 0.33 | 13.12 |
|  | SPAN:1B | SPAN | 1 | 30 | 30 | 4.67 | 5 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 30 | 5 | 0.33 | 15.00 |
|  | SPAN:22A | SPAN | 1 | 33 | 33 | 5.17 | 5.5 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 33 | 5.5 | 0.33 | 16.50 |
|  |  |  | 7.00 | 191.00 | 191.00 | 28.02 | 30.73 | - | 2.19 | 2.19 | 165.00 | 26.36 | 1.85 | 13.86 |
| Fall 15 | ARAB:1A | ARAB | 1 | 40 | 40 | 6 | 6.67 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 40 | 6.67 | 0.33 | 20.00 |
|  | CHIN:1 | CHIN | 1 | 30 | 30 | 3.67 | 5 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 30 | 5 | 0.33 | 15.00 |
|  | FREN:1A | FREN | 2 | 68 | 68 | 9.33 | 11.33 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 34 | 5.67 | 0.33 | 17.00 |
|  | FREN:1B | FREN | 1 | 20 | 21 | 2.83 | 3.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 21 | 3.33 | 0.33 | 10.00 |
|  | PORT:1A | PORT | 1 | 40 | 40 | 5.5 | 6.67 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 40 | 6.67 | 0.33 | 20.00 |
|  | SPAN:10B | SPAN | 1 | 21 | 21 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 21 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 10.50 |
|  | SPAN:1A | SPAN | 7 | 241 | 241 | 37.67 | 40.17 | 0 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 34 | 5.74 | 0.33 | 17.22 |
|  | SPAN:1B | SPAN | 2 | 52 | 52 | 8 | 8.67 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 26 | 4.33 | 0.33 | 13.00 |
|  | SPAN:22A | SPAN | 1 | 32 | 32 | 5.17 | 5.33 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 32 | 5.33 | 0.33 | 16.00 |
|  | SPAN:2A | SPAN | 2 | 48 | 48 | 7.67 | 8 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 24 | 4 | 0.33 | 12.00 |
|  | SPAN:2B | SPAN | 1 | 20 | 20 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 20 | 3.33 | 0.33 | 10.00 |
|  | SPAN:35B | SPAN | 1 | 26 | 26 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 26 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 13.00 |
|  | SPAN:38 | SPAN | 1 | 28 | 28 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 28 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 14.00 |
|  |  |  | 22.00 | 666.00 | 667.00 | 96.47 | 106.00 | 1.52 | 5.38 | 6.92 | 376.00 | 57.57 | 3.90 | 15.14 |
| Grand Total |  |  | 150 | 4330 | 4359 | 626.73 | 682.22 | 7.33 | 38.46 | 45.8 | 29 | 4.55 | 0.31 | 14.90 |




An explanation of student demand (or lack thereof) for specific courses.
The student demand is clearly focused on the first year elementary courses, $67 \%$ of the department population 492 enrollment and 81,84 FTES (15 courses in Fall 2015)

Productivity for the discipline, department, or program compared to the college
Productivity rate: Department: 15.14 (Spanish 1A: 17.22, French 1A: 17.00, Arabic and Portuguese 1A: 20.00 and Chinese 1A: 15.00)

Please insert the data chart here
See page 19-21
College productivity rate $17.13(11 / 02 / 2015)$

Salient factors, if known, affecting the enrollment and productivity trends you mention above.
Since the program is very popular and enrollment is very high in the Spanish classes, little has been made to recruit more students. The program could offer more classes, but because of lack of recourses this expansion had been stopped. Evidently, elementary language classes are very full because they fulfill the language other than English requirement at the University of California.
Furthermore, the following Spanish courses fulfill the Associate degree general education requirements in humanities: Spanish 1B, 2A, 2B, 10A, 10B, 38 and 40; French, Arabic and Portuguese 1A and 1B and Chinese 1A. For The California State University General Education Breadth Requirements, Area A (Essential Skills) Foreign Language: Arabic, French, Portuguese and Spanish 1B, Area B (Arts and Literature): SPAN 38 and 40, Area C—Arts, Literature, Philosophy, Foreign Languages (C-2 - Humanities): Arabic, Chinese, French, Portuguese and Spanish 1AB and Spanish2AB, 10AB, 30AB, 38 and 40 . As expected because of the demand productivity is higher in classes like 1A and 1B (all languages) and lower than the campus productivity rate in classes like $2 \mathrm{~A}, 2 \mathrm{~B}, 22 \mathrm{AB}, 38$, etc. However, these classes are needed for the AA degrees.

Are courses scheduled in a manner that meets student needs and demands? How do you know?
To satisfy a diverse population we offer language classes in grammar, conversation and culture in the morning, afternoon and evening so courses are not overlapped in the schedule.

Recommendations and priorities.
The committee recommends:

- Support faculty to engage in scholarly activity and to expand program curriculum.
- Continue planned efforts to make sure program courses are not overlapped in schedule and to increase number of online and hybrid courses to support working professional students.
- Develop a plan, including budget, for the regular updating of software and hardware used in the Language Lab.
- Continue exploring and assessing the expansion on strategic and heritage languages like Arabic, Persian (AKA Farsi, political distinction), Korean, Mandarin and heritage languages like Portuguese and Ohlone.


## 6. Student Success and Student Equity:

Describe course completion rates (\% of students that earned a grade "C" or better or "Credit") in the discipline, department, or program for the past three years. Please list each course separately. How do the discipline, department, or program course completion rates compare to the college course completion standard?

College course completion standard: 2015 Spring Total 64.21\%
Department/all discipline course completion rates: 2015 Spring Total 65.18\%
Arabic: 60\%
French: 77.14\%
Portuguese: 61.29\%
Spanish: 64.35\%
Retention: BCC: 75.50\%
Languages: 72.20\%

Course completion rates in the department for Distance Education courses (100\% online), Hybrid courses and Non-Online courses for the past three years.

Data:

| Course Success - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Term | Subject | Catalog Nbr | Catalog Descr | $\begin{gathered} \text { DE } \\ \text { Descr } \end{gathered}$ | SuccessNumerator | SuccessDenominator | \% |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN | 11 | SPAN/MED/HLTH/PROF. |  | 15 | 21 | 71.43 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN | 1A | ELEMENTARY SPANISH | 50\% or less online | 36 | 74 | 48.65 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN |  |  |  | 136 | 213 | 63.85 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN | 1B | ELEMENTARY SPANISH |  | 62 | 77 | 80.52 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN | 22A | SPAN/BILING SPKRS I | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { online } \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 28 | 21.43 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN | 22B | SPAN/BILING SPKRS II | 100\% online 50\% | 1 | 3 | 33.33 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN | 2A | INTERMEDIATE SPANISH | or less online | 7 | 20 | 35.00 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN |  |  |  | 16 | 22 | 72.73 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN | 2B | INTERMEDIATE SPANISH |  | 17 | 20 | 85.00 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN |  | HISPANIC CIV/CULTURE | 100\% online | 1 | 12 | 8.33 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN | 40 | (This was a combined course) | 50\% or less online | 18 | 26 | 69.23 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN | 70 | SPANISH MEDICAL TERMINOLOGY |  | 23 | 25 | 92.00 |
| 2013 Fall | SPAN | 72 | SPAN MEDICAL INTERPRETING II |  | 16 | 16 | 100.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2013 \text { Fall } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 354 | 557 | 63.55 |


| $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 10A | ADV SPANISH CONVERS |  | 16 | 25 | 64.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 Spring | SPAN | 1A | ELEMENTARY SPANISH | $51 \%$ <br> or more online | 11 | 31 | 35.48 |
| $2013$ <br> Spring | SPAN |  |  |  | 182 | 334 | 54.49 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2013 \\ & \text { Spring } \end{aligned}$ | SPAN | 1B | ELEMENTARY SPANISH |  | 92 | 116 | 79.31 |
| $2013$ <br> Spring | SPAN | 22A | SPAN/BILING SPKRS I | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { online } \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 27 | 48.15 |
| $2013$ Spring | SPAN | 22B | SPAN/BILING SPKRS II | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { online } \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 7 | 85.71 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2013 \\ & \text { Spring } \end{aligned}$ | SPAN | 2A | INTERMEDIATE SPANISH |  | 30 | 42 | 71.43 |
| 2013 Spring | SPAN | 2B | INTERMEDIATE SPANISH |  | 23 | 27 | 85.19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2013 \\ & \text { Spring } \end{aligned}$ | SPAN | 30A | BEG CONVERS SPANISH |  | 12 | 25 | 48.00 |
| 2013 Spring | SPAN | 35B | INT CONVER SPANISH: FILM |  | 18 | 29 | 62.07 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 38 | LATIN AMERICAN LIT. |  | 14 | 28 | 50.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 48UD | SPANISH STUDY ABROAD: CUBA |  | 29 | 29 | 100.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 71 | SPAN MEDICAL INTERPRETING I |  | 16 | 23 | 69.57 |
| 2013 <br> Spring <br> Total |  |  |  |  | 462 | 743 | 62.18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2013 \\ & \text { Summer } \end{aligned}$ | SPAN | 1A | ELEMENTARY SPANISH |  | 41 | 68 | 60.29 |
| 2013 Summer | SPAN | 1B | ELEMENTARY SPANISH | 50\% or less online | 32 | 39 | 82.05 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \text { Summer } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 73 | 107 | 68.22 |
| 2014 Fall | ARAB | 1A | ELEM MODERN STND ARABIC |  | 17 | 35 | 48.57 |
| 2014 Fall | FREN | 1A | ELEMENTARY FRENCH |  | 33 | 41 | 80.49 |
| 2014 Fall | FREN | 1B | ELEMENTARY FRENCH |  | 19 | 23 | 82.61 |
| 2014 Fall | PORT | 1A | ELEMENTARY PORTUGUESE |  | 27 | 40 | 67.50 |
| 2014 Fall | SPAN | 1A | ELEMENTARY SPANISH | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { or less } \\ \text { online } \end{gathered}$ | 17 | 37 | 45.95 |
| 2014 Fall | SPAN |  |  |  | 117 | 188 | 62.23 |
| 2014 Fall | SPAN | 1B | ELEMENTARY SPANISH |  | 40 | 55 | 72.73 |
| 2014 Fall | SPAN | 22A | SPAN/BILING SPKRS I |  | 17 | 20 | 85.00 |
| 2014 Fall | SPAN | 2A | INTERMEDIATE SPANISH | 50\% or less online | 8 | 14 | 57.14 |
| 2014 Fall | SPAN |  |  |  | 22 | 26 | 84.62 |
| 2014 Fall | SPAN | 2B | INTERMEDIATE SPANISH |  | 17 | 19 | 89.47 |
| 2014 Fall | SPAN | 38 | LATIN AMERICAN LIT. | 50\% or less online | 12 | 20 | 60.00 |
| 2014 Fall | SPAN | 72 | SPAN MEDICAL INTERPRETING II |  | 22 | 22 | 100.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2014 \text { Fall } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 368 | 540 | 68.15 |


| 2014 Spring | ARAB | 1A | ELEM MODERN STND ARABIC |  | 27 | 46 | 58.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | FREN | 1A | ELEMENTARY FRENCH |  | 24 | 34 | 70.59 |
| 2014 <br> Spring | PORT | 1A | ELEMENTARY PORTUGUESE |  | 31 | 36 | 86.11 |
| 2014 <br> Spring | SPAN | 1A | ELEMENTARY SPANISH | 50\% or less online | 38 | 66 | 57.58 |
| 2014 <br> Spring | SPAN |  |  |  | 121 | 208 | 58.17 |
| 2014 Spring | SPAN | 1B | ELEMENTARY SPANISH | 50\% or less online | 19 | 34 | 55.88 |
| 2014 <br> Spring | SPAN |  |  |  | 78 | 100 | 78.00 |
| 2014 <br> Spring | SPAN | 22A | SPAN/BILING SPKRS I | $100 \%$ online | 20 | 27 | 74.07 |
| 2014 <br> Spring | SPAN | 22B | SPAN/BILING SPKRS II | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { online } \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 6 | 100.00 |
| 2014 <br> Spring | SPAN | 2A | INTERMEDIATE SPANISH | 50\% or less online | 19 | 31 | 61.29 |
| 2014 <br> Spring | SPAN |  |  |  | 17 | 19 | 89.47 |
| 2014 Spring | SPAN | 2B | INTERMEDIATE SPANISH |  | 23 | 26 | 88.46 |
| 2014 Spring | SPAN | 35B | INT CONVER SPANISH: FILM |  | 17 | 24 | 70.83 |
| 2014 <br> Spring | SPAN | 39 | LATIN-AMERICAN NOVEL | 50\% or less online | 13 | 18 | 72.22 |
| 2014 <br> Spring | SPAN | 49 | I/S - SPANISH |  | 1 | 1 | 100.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 71 | SPAN MEDICAL INTERPRETING I |  | 24 | 24 | 100.00 |
| 2014 <br> Spring <br> Total |  |  |  |  | 478 | 700 | 68.29 |
| $2014$ <br> Summer | ARAB | 1A | ELEM MODERN STND ARABIC |  | 9 | 16 | 56.25 |
| 2014 <br> Summer | FREN | 1A | ELEMENTARY FRENCH |  | 18 | 32 | 56.25 |
| 2014 <br> Summer | SPAN | 101 | BASIC <br> SPANISH/EDUCATION | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { online } \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 25 | 80.00 |
| 2014 <br> Summer | SPAN | 1A | ELEMENTARY SPANISH |  | 48 | 62 | 77.42 |
| $2014$ <br> Summer | SPAN | 1B | ELEMENTARY SPANISH | 50\% or less online | 19 | 28 | 67.86 |
| $2014$ <br> Summer | SPAN | 22A | SPAN/BILING SPKRS I | $100 \%$ <br> online | 13 | 22 | 59.09 |
| $2014$ <br> Summer | SPAN | 22B | SPAN/BILING SPKRS II | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { online } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 4 | 100.00 |
| $2014$ <br> Summer Total |  |  |  |  | 131 | 189 | 69.31 |
| 2015 <br> Spring | ARAB | 1A | ELEM MODERN STND ARABIC |  | 21 | 38 | 55.26 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | ARAB | 1B | ELEM MODERN STND ARABIC |  | 18 | 27 | 66.67 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | FREN | 1A | ELEMENTARY FRENCH |  | 24 | 37 | 64.86 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | FREN | 1B | ELEMENTARY FRENCH |  | 30 | 33 | 90.91 |


| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | PORT | 1A | ELEMENTARY PORTUGUESE |  | 19 | 31 | 61.29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 10A | ADV SPANISH CONVERS |  | 21 | 32 | 65.63 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 11 | SPAN/MED/HLTH/PROF. |  | 11 | 18 | 61.11 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 1A | ELEMENTARY SPANISH | 50\% or less online | 25 | 49 | 51.02 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN |  |  |  | 89 | 164 | 54.27 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 1B | ELEMENTARY SPANISH | 50\% or less online | 21 | 30 | 70.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN |  |  |  | 53 | 61 | 86.89 |
| $2015$ <br> Spring | SPAN | 2A | INTERMEDIATE | 50\% or less online | 24 | 36 | 66.67 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN |  |  |  | 17 | 20 | 85.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 2B | INTERMEDIATE SPANISH |  | 18 | 22 | 81.82 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 35B | INT CONVER SPANISH: FILM |  | 16 | 27 | 59.26 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Spring } \end{gathered}$ | SPAN | 49 | I/S - SPANISH |  | 1 | 1 | 100.00 |
| 2015 <br> Spring Total |  |  |  |  | 408 | 626 | 65.18 |
| Definition: Percentage of students who receive a passing/satisfactory grade. Numerator: A, B, C, CR, IA, IB, IC, IPP, P <br> Denominator: A, B, C, CR, D, F, FW, IA, IB, IC, ID, IF, INP, IPP, P, NC, NP, W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Retention:

## Matric Retention rate by college DE All Subjects

Date run: 11/2/2015

| Term Descr Long | Campus Descr Short | Census <br> Enrollment | Retained | Retention Rate | DE | DE Descr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 1287 | 834 | 64.80\% | 100PCT | 100\% online |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 268 | 177 | 66.00\% | GTEQ51PCT | $51 \%$ or more online |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 888 | 599 | 67.50\% | LT51PCT | 50\% or less online |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 12736 | 9678 | 76.00\% |  |  |
| 2013 Fall Total |  | 15179 | 11288 | 74.40\% |  |  |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 1234 | 832 | 67.40\% | 100PCT | 100\% online |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 167 | 116 | 69.50\% | GTEQ51PCT | $51 \%$ or more online |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 463 | 375 | 81.00\% | LT51PCT | 50\% or less online |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 14180 | 10125 | 71.40\% |  |  |
| 2013 Spring Total |  | 16044 | 11448 | 71.40\% |  |  |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 949 | 707 | 74.50\% | 100PCT | 100\% online |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 160 | 119 | 74.40\% | GTEQ51PCT | 51\% or more online |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 88 | 75 | 85.20\% | LT51PCT | 50\% or less online |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 3187 | 2507 | 78.70\% |  |  |
| 2013 Summer Total |  | 4384 | 3408 | 77.70\% |  |  |


| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 1478 | 1045 | 70.70\% | 100PCT | 100\% online |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 114 | 74 | 64.90\% | GTEQ51PCT | $51 \%$ or more online |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 1108 | 809 | 73.00\% | LT51PCT | $50 \%$ or less online |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 13778 | 10670 | 77.40\% |  |  |
| 2014 Fall Total |  | 16478 | 12598 | 76.50\% |  |  |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 1382 | 926 | 67.00\% | 100PCT | 100\% online |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 191 | 145 | 75.90\% | GTEQ51PCT | $51 \%$ or more online |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 795 | 556 | 69.90\% | LT51PCT | $50 \%$ or less online |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 13695 | 10263 | 74.90\% |  |  |
| 2014 Spring Total |  | 16063 | 11890 | 74.00\% |  |  |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 1265 | 936 | 74.00\% | 100PCT | 100\% online |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 194 | 145 | 74.70\% | GTEQ51PCT | $51 \%$ or more online |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 97 | 84 | 86.60\% | LT51PCT | $50 \%$ or less online |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 2881 | 2298 | 79.80\% |  |  |
| 2014 Summer Total |  | 4437 | 3463 | 78.00\% |  |  |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1220 | 838 | 68.70\% | 100PCT | 100\% online |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 44 | 37 | 84.10\% | GTEQ51PCT | $51 \%$ or more online |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1212 | 859 | 70.90\% | LT51PCT | $50 \%$ or less online |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 13659 | 10454 | 76.50\% |  |  |
| 2015 Spring Total |  | 16135 | 12188 | 75.50\% |  |  |

## Matric Retention rate by college DE BCC All Languages <br> Date run: 11/2/2015



Retained = A, B, C, D, F, IP, I, RD, PS, NP
Census Enrollment = Dropped after census or didn't drop
Retention Rate $=$ Retained $/$ Census Enrollment
Retained is not unduplicated and includes all courses per student

Matric Retention rate by college DE BCC Languages subject
Date run: 11/2/2015


Matric Retention rate by college DE BCC Languages subject and catalog number
Date run: 11/2/2015


| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 27 | 21 | 77.80\% | 100PCT | 100\% online | SPAN | 22A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 6 | 6 | 100.00\% | 100 PCT | 100\% online | SPAN | 22B |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 66 | 46 | 69.70\% | LT51PCT | $50 \%$ or less online | SPAN | 1A |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 34 | 23 | 67.60\% |  |  |  | 1B |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 31 | 20 | 64.50\% |  |  |  | 2 A |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 18 | 14 | 77.80\% |  |  |  | 39 |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 46 | 36 | 78.30\% |  |  | ARAB | 1A |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 34 | 30 | 88.20\% |  |  | FREN | 1A |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 36 | 29 | 80.60\% |  |  | PORT | 1A |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 208 | 144 | 69.20\% |  |  | SPAN | 1A |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 100 | 77 | 77.00\% |  |  |  | 1B |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 19 | 16 | 84.20\% |  |  |  | 2A |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 26 | 22 | 84.60\% |  |  |  | 2B |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 24 | 13 | 54.20\% |  |  |  | 35B |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  |  |  | 49 |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 24 | 24 | 100.00\% |  |  |  | 71 |
| 2014 Spring Total |  | 701 | 522 | 74.50\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 25 | 19 | 76.00\% | 100PCT | 100\% online | SPAN | 101 |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 22 | 17 | 77.30\% |  |  |  | 22A |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 4 | 4 | 100.00\% |  |  |  | 22B |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 28 | 22 | 78.60\% | LT51PCT | $50 \%$ or less online | SPAN | 1B |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 16 | 10 | 62.50\% |  |  | ARAB | 1A |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 32 | 21 | 65.60\% |  |  | FREN | 1A |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 62 | 48 | 77.40\% |  |  | SPAN | 1A |
| 2014 Summer Total |  | 189 | 141 | 74.60\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 49 | 32 | 65.30\% | LT51PCT | $50 \%$ or less online | SPAN | 1A |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 30 | 22 | 73.30\% |  |  |  | 1B |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 36 | 28 | 77.80\% |  |  |  | 2A |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 38 | 21 | 55.30\% |  |  | ARAB | 1A |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 27 | 19 | 70.40\% |  |  |  | 1B |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 37 | 27 | 73.00\% |  |  | FREN | 1A |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 33 | 32 | 97.00\% |  |  |  | 1B |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 31 | 26 | 83.90\% |  |  | PORT | 1A |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 32 | 19 | 59.40\% |  |  | SPAN | 10A |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 18 | 12 | 66.70\% |  |  |  | 11 |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 164 | 112 | 68.30\% |  |  |  | 1A |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 61 | 50 | 82.00\% |  |  |  | 1B |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 20 | 15 | 75.00\% |  |  |  | 2A |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 22 | 21 | 95.50\% |  |  |  | 2B |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 27 | 15 | 55.60\% |  |  |  | 35B |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  |  |  | 49 |
| 2015 Spring Total |  | 626 | 452 | 72.20\% |  |  |  |  |

Retained = A, B, C, D, F, IP, I, RD, PS, NP
Census Enrollment = Dropped after census or didn't drop
Retention Rate $=$ Retained $/$ Census Enrollment
Retained is not unduplicated and includes all courses per student

## Discussion:

Describe course completion rates in the department for Distance Education courses (100\% online), Hybrid courses and Non-Online courses for the past three years. Please list each course separately. How do the department's Distance Education course completion rates compare to the college course completion standard?

There are not significant (or just minimum) differences in course completion rates compare to the college course completion standard or courses offered in the department F2F.
Furthermore, some hybrid and online courses have a completion rate higher than regular F2F courses. The department was not doing well in the area of success in fall 2013, however the issue was corrected in spring 2014. The department dedicated time and resources in the area of training and assigning the right instructors to the courses.
Furthermore, the department will add lab courses in spring 2016 to support success in hybrid and F2F courses. In spring 2014 the department started offering open lab hours to students to offer technical and pedagogical support. These open labs are not institutionalized in the lab courses. The creation of these lab resources for students has considerably increased the department success rate, going from fall 2013 65\% to fall $201475.80 \%$ and spring $201572.20 \%$ including online and hybrid courses: Spanish 22A (online) 77.80\% and 22B: 100\% success; Spanish 1A (hybrid) 69.70 in spring 2014.

Are there differences in the course completion rates when disaggregated by age, gender, ethnicity or special population (current or former foster youth, students with disabilities, low income students, Veterans)? If so, please describe.

There are significant differences course completions when disaggregated by gender and ethnicity. African American students have lower retention rate that other ethic/racial groups and the numbers are consistent. For example in Fall 2013 African American students had a retention rate of 65.10\% (F) and $59.40 \%$ (M) (a total 74 students) similar numbers in Spring 2014 however changing in gender $46.90 \%$ (F) 63.60\% (M) and similar numbers in Spring 2015 50\% (F) and 60.90\% (M) the class with lowest retention and success is Spanish 1A. (Please see Course Success - by Course, Spanish 1A) Success rate among African American students is only 43.89\% (3 years data) it is extremely low among American Indian/Alaskan Native and Other Non white, however the number of students is too low to have a good picture of the situation. There are also significant differences course completions when disaggregated by income and Vatea Single Parent. Some DSPS students are doing very well (Visually Impaired, Psychological and Learning Disability) and some are not (Mobility Impaired and Other Disability). In the area of gender, female students are doing slightly better) F: 66.77\%, M: 62.78\%. Furthermore, please see Matric Retention rate Modern Language Department (Age Range) page 34

Matric success rate (3 years)

| Campus Descr Short | Total Graded | success | Success Rate | Gender |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Berkeley | 1974 | 1318 | 66.77 | F |
| Berkeley | 1354 | 850 | 62.78 | M |
| Berkeley | 134 | 96 | 71.64 X |  |


| Campus Descr Short | Total Graded | success | Success Rate | Ethnic Group Desc |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Berkeley | 10 | 5 | 50.00 | American Indian/Alaskan Native |
| Berkeley | 340 | 242 | 71.18 | Asian |
| Berkeley | 499 | 219 | 43.89 | Black/African American |
| Berkeley | 45 | 27 | 60.00 | Filipino |
| Berkeley | 680 | 498 | 73.24 | Hispanic |
| Berkeley | 591 | 367 | 62.10 | Multiple |
| Berkeley | 9 | 3 | 33.33 | Other Non white |
| Berkeley | 13 | 6 | 46.15 | Pacific Islander |
| Berkeley | 154 | 106 | 68.83 | Unknown/Non Respondent |
| Berkeley | 1121 | 791 | 70.56 | White Non Hispanic |


| Campus Descr Short | Total Graded | success | Success Rate | Low Income |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Berkeley | 1386 | 1022 | 73.74 | N |
| Berkeley | 142 | 85 | 59.86 | U |
| Berkeley | 1934 | 1157 | 59.82 | Y |


| Campus Descr Short | Total Graded | success | Success Rate | EOPS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Berkeley | 3365 | 2216 | 65.85 | N |
| Berkeley |  | 97 | 48 | 49.48 |


| Campus Descr Short | Total Graded | success | Success Rate | Dsps Disability |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00 | Acquired Brain Injury |
| Berkeley | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | Hearing Impaired |
| Berkeley | 13 | 9 | 69.23 | Learning Disabled |
| Berkeley | 12 | 7 | 58.33 | Mobility Impaired |
| Berkeley | 40 | 21 | 52.50 | Other Disability |
| Berkeley | 18 | 13 | 72.22 | Psychological Disability |
| Berkeley | 14 | 12 | 85.71 | Visually Impaired |
| Berkeley | 3362 | 2201 | 65.47 |  |


| Campus Descr Short | Total Graded | success | Success Rate | Vatea Single Parent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Berkeley | 2077 | 1384 | 66.63 | N |
| Berkeley | 129 | 69 | 53.49 | Y |
| Berkeley | 1256 | 811 | 64.57 |  |

Matric Retention rate Modern Language Department (Age Range)
Date run: 11/3/2015

| Term Descr Long | Campus Descr Short | Census Enrollment | Retained | Retention Rate | Age Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 45 | 38 | 84.40\% | 16-18 |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 271 | 198 | 73.10\% | 19-24 |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 92 | 60 | 65.20\% | 25-29 |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 39 | 31 | 79.50\% | 30-34 |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 73 | 47 | 64.40\% | 35-54 |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 24 | 15 | 62.50\% | 55-64 |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 13 | 3 | 23.10\% |  <br> Above |
| 2013 Fall Total |  | 557 | 392 | 70.40\% |  |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 42 | 32 | 76.20\% | 16-18 |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 334 | 217 | 65.00\% | 19-24 |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 112 | 72 | 64.30\% | 25-29 |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 69 | 43 | 62.30\% | 30-34 |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 126 | 85 | 67.50\% | 35-54 |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 44 | 28 | 63.60\% | 55-64 |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 13 | 7 | 53.80\% |  <br> Above |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 3 | 3 | 100.00\% Under 16 |  |
| 2013 Spring Total |  | 743 | 487 | 65.50\% |  |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 22 | 17 | 77.30\% | 16-18 |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 49 | 37 | 75.50\% | 19-24 |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 17 | 13 | 76.50\% | 25-29 |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 7 | 4 | 57.10\% | 30-34 |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 15 | 8 | 53.30\% | 35-54 |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% | 55-64 |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 6 | 6 | 100.00\% | Under 16 |
| 2013 Summer Total |  | 117 | 86 | 73.50\% |  |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 37 | 32 | 86.50\% | 16-18 |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 275 | 214 | 77.80\% | 19-24 |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 78 | 58 | 74.40\% | 25-29 |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 40 | 25 | 62.50\% | 30-34 |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 68 | 51 | 75.00\% | 35-54 |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 26 | 15 | 57.70\% | 55-64 |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 14 | 12 | 85.70\% |  <br> Above |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 3 | 3 | 100.00\% | Under 16 |
| 2014 Fall Total |  | 541 | 410 | 75.80\% |  |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 54 | 49 | 90.70\% | 16-18 |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 347 | 262 | 75.50\% | 19-24 |


| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 115 | 75 | 65.20\% | 25-29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 52 | 40 | 76.90\% | 30-34 |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 80 | 63 | 78.80\% | 35-54 |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 32 | 22 | 68.80\% | 55-64 |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 16 | 6 | 37.50\% |  <br> Above |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 5 | 5 | 100.00\% | Under 16 |
| 2014 Spring Total |  | 701 | 522 | 74.50\% |  |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 32 | 23 | 71.90\% | 16-18 |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 84 | 67 | 79.80\% | 19-24 |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 25 | 17 | 68.00\% | 25-29 |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 15 | 12 | 80.00\% | 30-34 |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 21 | 13 | 61.90\% | 35-54 |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 4 | 2 | 50.00\% | 55-64 |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 3 | 2 | 66.70\% |  <br> Above |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 5 | 5 | 100.00\% | Under 16 |
| 2014 Summer Total |  | 189 | 141 | 74.60\% |  |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 41 | 30 | 73.20\% | 16-18 |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 290 | 225 | 77.60\% | 19-24 |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 111 | 74 | 66.70\% | 25-29 |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 51 | 31 | 60.80\% | 30-34 |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 74 | 51 | 68.90\% | 35-54 |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 31 | 21 | 67.70\% | 55-64 |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 23 | 16 | 69.60\% |  <br> Above |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 5 | 4 | 80.00\% | Under 16 |
| 2015 Spring Total |  | 626 | 452 | 72.20\% |  |

Retained = A, B, C, D, F, IP, I, RD, PS, NP<br>Census Enrollment = Dropped after census or didn't drop<br>Retention Rate = Retained / Census Enrollment<br>Retained is not unduplicated and includes all courses per student

Describe the discipline, department, or program retention rates (after the first census, the percent of students earning any grade but a " W " in a course or series of courses) for the past three years. How does the discipline, department, or program retention rate compare to the college retention standard?

There are not significant (or just minimum, no more than 5 points in some semester) differences in course retention rates compare to the college course retention rate. Furthermore, some language courses have a retention rate higher than college course retention rate (more than 10\%). Retention rate is slightly higher in F2F courses, with younger populations (under 24) and in advanced courses (1B, 2A and 2B) but lower in beginning courses, like 1A especially alarming with some ethnic groups like African Americans. The department will collaborate at a campus level with any effort to support these important groups of students.

## Matric Retention rate BCC

Date run: 11/3/2015

| Term Descr Long | Campus Descr Short | Census Enrollment | Retained | Retention Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 15179 | 11288 | $74.40 \%$ |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 16044 | 11448 | $71.40 \%$ |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 4384 | 3408 | $77.70 \%$ |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 16478 | 12598 | $76.50 \%$ |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 16063 | 11890 | $74.00 \%$ |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 4437 | 3463 | $78.00 \%$ |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 16135 | 12187 | $75.50 \%$ |

Matric Retention rate Modern Languages Department
Date run: 11/3/2015

| Term Descr Long | Campus Descr Short | Census Enrollment | Retained | Retention Rate | Subject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 Fall | Berkeley | 557 | 392 | 70.40\% | SPAN |
| 2013 Fall Total |  | 557 | 392 | 70.40\% |  |
| 2013 Spring | Berkeley | 743 | 487 | 65.50\% | SPAN |
| 2013 Spring Total |  | 743 | 487 | 65.50\% |  |
| 2013 Summer | Berkeley | 117 | 86 | 73.50\% | SPAN |
| 2013 Summer <br> Total |  | 117 | 86 | 73.50\% |  |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 35 | 21 | 60.00\% | ARAB |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 65 | 57 | 87.70\% | FREN |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 40 | 35 | 87.50\% | PORT |
| 2014 Fall | Berkeley | 401 | 297 | 74.10\% | SPAN |
| 2014 Fall Total |  | 541 | 410 | 75.80\% |  |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 46 | 36 | 78.30\% | ARAB |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 34 | 30 | 88.20\% | FREN |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 36 | 29 | 80.60\% | PORT |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 585 | 427 | 73.00\% | SPAN |
| 2014 Spring Total |  | 701 | 522 | 74.50\% |  |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 16 | 10 | 62.50\% | ARAB |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 32 | 21 | 65.60\% | FREN |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 141 | 110 | 78.00\% | SPAN |
| 2014 Summer <br> Total |  | 189 | 141 | 74.60\% |  |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 65 | 40 | 61.50\% | ARAB |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 70 | 59 | 84.30\% | FREN |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 31 | 26 | 83.90\% | PORT |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 460 | 327 | 71.10\% | SPAN |
| 2015 Spring Total |  | 626 | 452 | 72.20\% |  |

Retained = A, B, C, D, F, IP, I, RD, PS, NP
Census Enrollment = Dropped after census or didn't drop
Retention Rate = Retained / Census Enrollment
Retained is not unduplicated and includes all courses per student

## Matric Retention rate by college DE_BCC_Languages_subject (Gender and Ethnic Group) Date run: 11/2/2015





| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 4 | 4 | 100.00\% |  | White Non Hispanic | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 2 | 100.00\% | PORT | Asian | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 4 | 4 | 100.00\% |  | Black/African American | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  | Filipino | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 9 | 8 | 88.90\% |  | Hispanic | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 11 | 11 | 100.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 2 | 100.00\% |  | Multiple | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% |  | White Non Hispanic | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% | SPAN | American Indian/Alaskan Native | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 36 | 29 | 80.60\% |  | Asian | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 18 | 12 | 66.70\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 49 | 23 | 46.90\% |  | Black/African American | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 22 | 14 | 63.60\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 5 | 5 | 100.00\% |  | Filipino | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 72 | 68 | 94.40\% |  | Hispanic | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 46 | 38 | 82.60\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 3 | 3 | 100.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 61 | 42 | 68.90\% |  | Multiple | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 46 | 25 | 54.30\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 3 | 3 | 100.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% |  | Other Non white | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% |  | Pacific Islander | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 10 | 9 | 90.00\% |  | Unknown/Non Respondent | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 6 | 3 | 50.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 106 | 77 | 72.60\% |  | White Non Hispanic | F |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 82 | 67 | 81.70\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Spring | Berkeley | 13 | 7 | 53.80\% |  |  | X |
| 2014 Spring Total |  | 701 | 522 | 74.50\% |  |  |  |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% | ARAB | Asian | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  | Black/African American | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% |  | Hispanic | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 3 | 2 | 66.70\% |  | Multiple | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 2 | 2 | 100.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 3 | 2 | 66.70\% |  | White Non Hispanic | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 3 | 0 | 0.00\% | FREN | Asian | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 4 | 4 | 100.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  | Black/African American | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% |  | Filipino | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  | Hispanic | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 3 | 1 | 33.30\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 7 | 5 | 71.40\% |  | Multiple | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 8 | 6 | 75.00\% |  | White Non Hispanic | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 3 | 3 | 100.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 8 | 7 | 87.50\% | SPAN | Asian | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 4 | 3 | 75.00\% |  |  | M |


| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  |  | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 15 | 11 | 73.30\% |  |  | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 6 | 5 | 83.30\% |  | Black/African American | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  | Filipino | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 20 | 17 | 85.00\% |  |  | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 7 | 6 | 85.70\% |  | Hispanic | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 14 | 11 | 78.60\% |  |  | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 12 | 11 | 91.70\% |  | Multiple | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 5 | 4 | 80.00\% |  |  | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 2 | 2 | 100.00\% |  | Unknown/Non Respondent | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 27 | 18 | 66.70\% |  |  | F |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 13 | 10 | 76.90\% |  | White Non Hispanic | M |
| 2014 Summer | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2014 Summer Total |  | 189 | 141 | 74.60\% |  |  |  |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 3 | 2 | 66.70\% | ARAB | Asian | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 9 | 6 | 66.70\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 10 | 6 | 60.00\% |  | Black/African American | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 7 | 4 | 57.10\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  | Hispanic | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 3 | 2 | 66.70\% |  | Multiple | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 5 | 3 | 60.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  | Other Non white | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  | Unknown/Non Respondent | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 8 | 4 | 50.00\% |  | White Non Hispanic | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 14 | 8 | 57.10\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 2 | 100.00\% | FREN | Asian | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 5 | 4 | 80.00\% |  | Black/African American | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 4 | 2 | 50.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 6 | 6 | 100.00\% |  | Hispanic | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 9 | 9 | 100.00\% |  | Multiple | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 6 | 5 | 83.30\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% |  |  | X |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  | Unknown/Non Respondent | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 13 | 10 | 76.90\% |  | White Non Hispanic | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 22 | 20 | 90.90\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% | PORT | Asian | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  | Black/African American | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 5 | 4 | 80.00\% |  | Hispanic | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 8 | 8 | 100.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 5 | 5 | 100.00\% |  | Multiple | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 5 | 3 | 60.00\% |  |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 3 | 2 | 66.70\% |  | White Non Hispanic | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% | SPAN | American Indian/Alaskan Native | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 27 | 22 | 81.50\% |  | Asian | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 26 | 21 | 80.80\% |  |  | M |


| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 40 | 20 | 50.00\% | Black/African American | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 23 | 14 | 60.90\% |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  | X |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 2 | 100.00\% | Filipino | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 44 | 35 | 79.50\% | Hispanic | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 18 | 17 | 94.40\% |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% |  | X |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 44 | 33 | 75.00\% | Multiple | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 26 | 18 | 69.20\% |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% |  | X |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% | Other Non white | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 1 | 1 | 100.00\% | Pacific Islander | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 2 | 1 | 50.00\% |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 21 | 12 | 57.10\% | Unknown/Non Respondent | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 8 | 4 | 50.00\% |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 3 | 3 | 100.00\% |  | X |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 88 | 62 | 70.50\% | White Non Hispanic | F |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 70 | 53 | 75.70\% |  | M |
| 2015 Spring | Berkeley | 8 | 5 | 62.50\% |  | X |
| 2015 Spring Total |  | 626 | 452 | 72.20\% |  |  |

Retained = A, B, C, D, F, IP, I, RD, PS, NP
Census Enrollment = Dropped after census or didn't drop
Retention Rate = Retained / Census Enrollment
Retained is not unduplicated and includes all courses per student

Which has the discipline, department, or program done to improve course completion and retention rates? What is planned for the next three years?

Instructors continue providing evaluations as part of their instruction so that students have some idea about the progress of their learning. Unfortunately, because of the divers population and limited resources it is very difficult to control this outcome. Instructors are assessing student work in relation to the course objectives, and formal assessment of the courses is in progress (see assessment). In terms of demonstrating student learning outcomes outside of individual classes, the department is constantly in the process measuring and documenting student learning.

The department has created language lab courses, at this moment only for Spanish classes but is planning to expand this type of support classes to Arabic, Chinese, French and Portuguese. The department will continue its planned efforts to make sure program courses are not overlapped in schedule and maintain number of online and hybrid courses to support working professional students. Furthermore, will explore the expansion on strategic and heritage languages include strategic languages like Arabic and Mandarin and heritage languages like Portuguese; and continue supporting the Language Lab, so it has an adequate amount of tutoring hours for students and a budget for instructional assistants and tutors

Success rates BCC

| Campus Descr Short | Term Descr Long | Total Graded | success | Success Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Berkeley | 2013 Fall | 14897 | 9618 | 64.56 |
| Berkeley | 2013 Spring | 15476 | 9999 | 64.61 |
| Berkeley | 2013 Summer | 4176 | 3024 | 72.41 |
| Berkeley | 2014 Fall | 16333 | 10467 | 64.08 |
| Berkeley | 2014 Spring | 15895 | 10208 | 64.22 |
| Berkeley | 2014 Summer | 4378 | 3102 | 70.85 |
| Berkeley | 2015 Spring | 16033 | 10295 | 64.21 |

Success rates Modern Languages Department

| Campus Descr Short | Term Descr Long | Total Graded | success | Success Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Berkeley | 2013 Fall | 557 | 354 | 63.55 |
| Berkeley | 2013 Spring | 743 | 462 | 62.18 |
| Berkeley | 2013 Summer | 107 | 73 | 68.22 |
| Berkeley | 2014 Fall | 540 | 365 | 67.59 |
| Berkeley | 2014 Spring | 700 | 471 | 67.29 |
| Berkeley | 2014 Summer | 189 | 131 | 69.31 |
| Berkeley | 2015 Spring | 626 | 408 | 65.18 |

Success rates Modern Languages Department by Language

| Campus Descr Short | Term Descr Long | Total Graded | success | Success Rate | Subject |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Berkeley | 2013 Fall | 557 | 354 | 63.55 | SPAN |
| Berkeley | 2013 Spring | 743 | 462 | 62.18 | SPAN |
| Berkeley | 2013 Summer | 107 | 73 | 68.22 | SPAN |
| Berkeley | 2014 Fall | 35 | 17 | 48.57 | ARAB |
| Berkeley | 2014 Fall | 64 | 52 | 81.25 | FREN |
| Berkeley | 2014 Fall | 40 | 27 | 67.5 | PORT |
| Berkeley | 2014 Fall | 401 | 269 | 67.08 | SPAN |
| Berkeley | 2014 Spring | 46 | 27 | 58.7 | ARAB |
| Berkeley | 2014 Spring | 34 | 24 | 70.59 | FREN |
| Berkeley | 2014 Spring | 36 | 28 | 77.78 | PORT |
| Berkeley | 2014 Spring | 584 | 392 | 67.12 | SPAN |
| Berkeley | 2014 Summer | 16 | 9 | 56.25 | ARAB |
| Berkeley | 2014 Summer | 32 | 18 | 56.25 | FREN |
| Berkeley | 2014 Summer | 141 | 104 | 73.76 | SPAN |
| Berkeley | 2015 Spring | 65 | 39 | 60 | ARAB |
| Berkeley | 2015 Spring | 70 | 54 | 77.14 | FREN |
| Berkeley | 2015 Spring | 31 | 19 | 61.29 | PORT |
| Berkeley | 2015 Spring | 460 | 296 | 64.35 | SPAN |

Which has the discipline, department, or program done to improve the number of degrees and certificates awarded? Include the number of degrees and certificates awarded by year, for the past three years. What is planned for the next three years?

The Spanish Programs provide a sequential course of study that prepares students for transfer to four-year institutions with a Spanish major or acquisition of Spanish proficiency
necessary for career fields that emphasize the value of familiarity with diverse cultures and global issues.

Spanish Associate in Arts for Transfer Degree (AA-T) Students who successfully complete the AA-T in Spanish earn specific guarantees for transfer to the CSU system: admission to a CSU with junior status and priority admission to their local CSU campus and to a program or major in Spanish or a similar major. Students transferring to a CSU campus will be required to complete no more than 60 units after transfer to earn a bachelor's degree. Students are required to complete 60 semester units that are eligible for transfer to a California State University, including both of the following: (1) The Intersegmental General Education Transfer Curriculum (IGETC) or the California State University General Education - Breadth Requirements and (2) 19-23 semester units with a grade of $C$ or $P$ or better in the major and an overall minimum grade point average (GPA) of at least 2.0 in all CSU transferable coursework.

Spanish Language for Heritage Speakers Associate in Arts Degree Spanish provides a sequential course of study that prepares students for transfer to four-year institutions with a Spanish major or acquisition of Spanish proficiency necessary for career fields that emphasize the value of familiarity with diverse cultures and global issues.

## Spanish Language for Heritage Speakers Certificate of Achievement

Spanish Medical Interpreter Certificate of Achievement Students who wish to earn a certificate, must participate in the Interpreting Internship, which includes placement in a local hospital or medical clinic. If students wish to earn an associate degree or certificate, they must participate in the Student Success Program (Matriculation), which includes assessing academic skills and developing a Student Education Plan (SEP) with a counselor. This plan maps students' sequence of courses to help them complete their certificate regardless of the semester the student begins classes.
(Needs data)

## 7. Human, Technological, and Physical Resources (including equipment and facilities):

Describe your current level of staff, including full-time and part-time faculty, classified staff, and other categories of employment.

Full-time faculty headcount 2
Part-time faculty headcount 12
Total FTEF faculty for the discipline, department, or program, fall 20151.52 (FT) 5.38 (PT) / 6.9 Total

Full-time/part-time faculty ratio 22\% FT / 78\% PT
Classified staff headcount: 0 (we hire a $9 \mathrm{hs} /$ week PT classified to service the language lab in fall 2015)

Describe your current utilization of facilities and equipment.
Current space is adequate for our course offerings. The program has a multipurpose language center, room 212. The multipurpose language center works also as a language lab (with open hours), a tutoring center and a classroom, with round tables and laptops connected to the network wireless. This structure allows having multiple uses and lowing dramatically the price and recourses needed for the lab.

What are your key staffing needs for the next three years? Why? Please provide evidence to support your request such as assessment data, student success data, enrollment data, and/or other factors.

Since complementary material for workbooks such us videos and audios are offered today in digital form, the laptops can be use as VCR and audio player and recorders. This dramatically reduces the cost and makes a better use of space. Even though the cost in technology had been drastically reduced since there is no need for audio and video equipment, special furniture and extra space to accommodate all this technology is critical that the college understand that a coordinator for the lab is needed. This person can work as a trainer, developer and manager of the lab. This is a specialize position that cannot be replace by an IT programmer.

Furthermore, the department offers hybrid and online courses, which combines online techniques with traditional teaching methodology. A hybrid class is a blend of face-to-face instruction with online learning. In a hybrid course, a significant part of the course learning is online and as a result, the amount of classroom seat-time is reduced and focused on putting the grammar into practice. The program is investing heavily in hybrid classes, following the recommendation to the Peralta Community College District (PCCD) by the Chuck McIntyre Report (2008). These classes are combined with lab hours for independent work and one-on-one interaction with an instructor and tutors. These lab classes are offered in the language lab supported by technology in the room like the mobile lab, software and online content.

Presently, the ratio is nearly 1 full-time per 4 part-time instructors 4 ( $22 \%$ full-time: 78\% part-time) in fall 2015, way below the full-time to part-time instructor ratio mandated by the state ( $75 \%$ full-time: $25 \%$ part-time). This issue is affecting the quality of the program
considering that practically every semester since fall 2013 the approximately FTEF Contr is 1.2 and FTEF Temp is around 7. This makes extremely difficult to keep up with the required:

- development and update of SLOs,
- conduct orientations for students and new faculty,
- assessment of the program and classes,
- updating course outlines,
- recruit, evaluate, train, support and supervise instructors and tutors,
- offer critical support to students and faculty in the area of hybrid courses and virtual tutoring center (on eberkeley.org)
- develop new classes,
- coordinate curriculum with other department,
- represent the program in college and district committees,
- represent the program in the local Bay Area foreign language community organizations, like FLANC or AATSP
- develop support services
- maintain the language lab

What are your key technological needs for the next three years? Why? Please provide evidence to support your request such as assessment data, student success data, enrollment data, and/or other factors.

In order to be successful and make a good use of these technologies, the Department of Modern Languages at BCC needs a foreign language lab well equipped and online lab coordinator. This person has to be an expert in second language accusation and instructional technology. Programs like the BLC (Berkeley Language Center) at UC Berkeley could be a model for this new lab. Software for the mobile lab has already been purchased. The software system is Auralog's TELL ME MORE® (Spanish) language training system. The TELL ME MORE® system includes:

- Easy-to-comprehend content created by expert instructional designers
- 2,000+ hours of dynamic content per language
- Specialized oral communication content
- Supplemental Business and Culture specific content
- Reading, Writing, Speaking, and Listening Workshops
- 37 different types of learning activities/interactions
- Speech Recognition with playback and achievement scale
- Spoken Error Tracking System (SETS®) technology automatically detects and corrects your pronunciation errors
- Professional voice-overs, videos, 3-D animations, and real-world graphics
- 10,000 vocabulary words classified by lexical group
- Anytime, anywhere accessibility

This language support system is already installed in the mobile lab. More languages can be purchased in the future with the development of more languages classes. Presently tutoring is available for students who need it in the tutoring center and the language lab. The department needs these technologies updated and functional.

What are your key facilities needs for the next three years? Why? Please provide evidence to support your request such as assessment data, student success data, enrollment data, and/or other factors.

Current space is adequate for our course offerings and the language lab. Unfortunately the office space is not acceptable. The department just has one small office, almost half the side of a regular office for 14 instructors ( 2 full time and 12 part time instructors) office hours are crowded and not a good appropriate space to offer support to students. The department is constantly working on developing a plan, including budget, for the regular updating of software and hardware used in the Language Lab. Please see Comprehensive Instructional Program Review Prioritized Resource Requests Template included in Appendix A

Please complete the Comprehensive Instructional Program Review Prioritized Resource Requests Template included in Appendix A.

## 8. Community, Institutional, and Professional Engagement and Partnerships:

Discuss how faculty and staff have engaged in institutional efforts such as committees, presentations, and departmental activities. Please list the committees that full-time faculty participate in.

The chair, Dr. Fabian Banga, is a member of the campus Curriculum Committee, CIPD, cochair of the campus and District Technology Committee; Distance Ed coordinator for the campus and the district and member of 2 TRC committees. He is also the president of the Foreign Language Association of Northern California.
Willy Lizarraga is a member of the assessment committee and one TRC.

Discuss how faculty and staff have engaged in community activities, partnerships and/or collaborations.

Some instructors of the department are members and active participants of FLANC and other similar organizations.

Discuss how adjunct faculty members are included in departmental training, discussions, and decision-making.

Two PT instructors are members of this instructional program review committee. PT instructors offer feedback during departments meetings. PT instructors are also leading assessment and selecting books for 1 A and 1 B courses since these courses are predominantly offered by adjunct instructors. A PT instructor co-chaired the department for two years (2013-2015). The department needs resources and support from the campus to help faculty to engage in scholarly activity and to expand program curriculum

## 9. Professional Development:

Please describe the professional development needs of your discipline or department. Include specifics such as training in the use of classroom technology, use of online resources, instructional methods, cultural sensitivity, faculty mentoring, etc.

Instructors in the Spanish (and French, Arabic and Portuguese) program are active members of the foreign language instructional community at the San Francisco Bay Area. The Chair of the Department is member and president of the executive committee of Foreign Language Association of Northern California (FLANC) and most members of the department are members of FLANC, The American Association of Teachers of Spanish and Portuguese (AATSP), South West Association for Language Learning Technology (SWALLT); The Rocky Mountain Modern Language Association (RMMLA) and the Community College Consortium for Open Educational Resources. The Department hosts the AATSP Northern California Chapter. This keeps the members up-to-date with information on all relevant developments in the language acquisition discipline. The program applies several learning techniques base on Tracy D. Terrell's Natural Approach to Language Instruction, James Asher's Total Physical Response (TPR) and Stephen D. Krashen's theoretical model of second-language acquisition.

How do you train new instructors in the use of Distance Education platforms? Is this sufficient?
Professional development training for faculty and staff is available both online, on the Distance Education Program's web page, through trainings, and through Merritt College's Online Education Certificate Program. Furthermore, in addition to technical and Help Desk support, Peralta provides a variety of Moodle training opportunities for instructors. This includes workshops on district-wide faculty development days, personal training from the DE campus coordinator (who is also chair of the department) online videos and manuals, and a certificate program in using Moodle for online teaching, offered by Merritt College. The training is sufficient. Furthermore, the department endorses the "District Academic Senate Guidelines: Assignment of Instructors to Online Classes" from 2006

In response to the Peralta Executive Summary (Online Distance Education Program, Executive Summary, August 10, 2006), under Purpose of Program bullet 3, "Increase the number of highly qualified online instructors," DAS strongly urges the following before an instructor is assigned an online class by management:

Instructor must have previous face-to-face or hybrid teaching experience of the course or course content to be offered online

- In the traditional face-to-face format at least once; and/or
- Teaching a hybrid version of that course.

Instructor must have the following three elements in place prior to being assigned an online course:

- Received training in the use of at least one course management system (such as Moodle, Blackboard or ETUDES-NG);
- Received training in how to teach online, such as taken the course "Teaching an Online Course" (offered by @One, a community college or UC extension course) or personal training from the DE group;
- Uses the Peralta email system (with a peralta.edu email address) and has a Peralta web page on the college web site that has information about the on-line course. This web page will provide a link to the LMS or CMS web site.

Recommended preparation includes that the instructor

- has previously taken an online course of some kind;
- has worked with a mentor who is an experienced online instructor.

Recommended ongoing instructor preparation should include maintaining currency in online education such as

- Instructional technologies
- Pedagogy based on e-learning.
- Collaborating with other online instructors
- Ongoing assessment of student learning outcomes
- Complete a certificate in online education
- Be an active member of an organization dedicated to supporting/promoting the useful integration of instructional technology in teaching


## 10. Disciple, Department or Program Goals and Activities:

Briefly describe and discuss the discipline, department or program goals and activities for the next three years, including the rationale for setting these goals. NOTE: Progress in attaining these goals will be assessed in subsequent years through annual program updates (APUs).

The program would like to continue offering strategic languages like Arabic, Portuguese, Mandarin, and Japanese (based on students demand) and continue offering courses that will help already fluent students (Spanish) complete their AA or credential degree. Although many BCC students speak Spanish fluently, this ability makes them ineligible for basic language courses such us Spanish 1a, 1b and in many cases 2a. Because of this, our department is offering Spanish, 22a and b, Elementary and Intermediate Spanish for students whose native language is Spanish and advanced Spanish classes, such as 38, 39 and 40 and Spanish 15. These courses are offered online and have the support of a virtual and F2F lab. The department offers a Certificate entirely online for students whose native language is Spanish or are bilingual.
Furthermore since there is a clear demand of French courses, the department would like to also expand the offering of these courses. The department would also like to continue offering hybrid and online courses, which combines online techniques with traditional teaching methodology.

Then fill out the goal setting template included in Appendix B. which aligns your discipline, department or program goals to the college mission statement and goals and the PCCD strategic goals and institutional objectives.

## Goal 1. Curriculum:

1. Continue offering (based on students' demand) and assessing: Arabic, French, Mandarin, Portuguese and Spanish
2. Explore the possibility of expanding into Ohlone and Japanese.
3. Expand French course offerings to keep up with demand made by students. To meet this goal the department needs to offer numerous courses beyond the existing level of French 1A and French 1B. These new courses will be designed to give students a more in-depth familiarity with the language, culture, and literature of France as well as the French-speaking world.

## Goal 2. Assessment:

1. Continue developing and implementing assessment processes

## Goal 3. Instruction:

1. The committee is recommending expansion especially in French since there is a clear student demand. Furthermore, the committee recommends a hiring of a new full time instructor with expertise in French curriculum.
2. Continue supporting students and instructors with updated technologies in the lab and professional development.

## Goal 4. Student Success and Student Equity:

1. Since the student/teacher ratio cannot be change, explore the possibility of having more student tutors and some of them in the classroom, especially in very impacted courses like 1As. 40 students per class is not a ratio recommended by the American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL), the recommended class size is no more than 15 students
"Since the goal of a standards-based language program is to develop students' ability to communicate, there must be opportunities for frequent and meaningful student-toteacher and student-to-student interaction, monitored practice, and individual feedback during instructional time.

Therefore, while ACTFL recognizes the fiscal realities faced by schools and institutions of higher education, ACTFL supports the recommended class size of no more than 15 students, made by both the National Education Association (NEA) and the Association of Departments of Foreign Languages (ADFL). Since the most important consideration in determining class size should be pedagogical efficacy, ACTFL's position applies to both traditional and online classroom settings. Where larger class sizes exist, teachers must be provided with additional support in order to maintain sound pedagogical practices."

Approved by the ACTFL Board May 22, 2010 - See more at: https://shar.es/15uzsy

## Goal 5. Professional Development, Community, Institutional and Professional Engagement and Partnerships:

1. Support faculty to engage in scholarly activity
2. Increase number of online and hybrid courses to support working professional students.

Please complete the Comprehensive Instructional Program Review Integrated Goal Setting Template included in Appendix B.

## Appendices

Comprehensive Instructional Program Review
Prioritized Resource Requests Summary for Additional (New) Resources

College: Berkeley City College
Discipline, Department or Program: Modern Language Department
Contact Person: Dr. Fabian Banga, Chair
Date: 11/01/2015
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Resource } \\ \text { Category }\end{array} & \text { Description } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Priority } \\ \text { Ranking } \\ \text { (1-5, etc.) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Estimated } \\ \text { Cost }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Justification } \\ \text { (page \# in the } \\ \text { program } \\ \text { review }\end{array} \\ \text { narrative } \\ \text { report) }\end{array}\right]$

PCCD Program Review
Alignment of Goals Template

College: Berkeley City College
Discipline, Department or Program: Modern Language Department

Contact Person: Dr. Fabian Banga, Chair
Date: 11/01/2015


|  |  | activities, student leadership development, service learning programs, learning communities and student employment. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | BCC Goal 3. Increase the number of new partners and enhance and leverage resources with existing partners. | Strategic Goals: <br> B: Engage and Leverage <br> Partners <br> 2015-2016 Institutional Objectives: <br> B. 1 Partnerships: Develop a <br> District-wide database that represents our current strategic partnerships and relationships, both locally and abroad. Identify the individual responsible for this objective by October 1, 2015. <br> B.2. Partnerships: Expand and document domestic and international partnerships with K-12 institutions, community based organizations, fouryear institutions, local government, and regional industries and businesses. |
| 1. Expand French course offerings to keep up with demand made by students. | BCC Goal 4. Reduce education and achievement gap through building and implementing programs of distinction through SSSP, Equity, BSI and other college-wide plans. | Strategic Goals: <br> C: Build Programs of Distinction <br> 2015-2016 Institutional Objectives: <br> C. 1 Student Success: Develop a <br> District-wide first year experience/student success program (such as Peralta Scholars). <br> C. 2 Student Success: Develop and fully implement an innovative student success program at each college that feeds into the District-wide first year experience/student success program. |
| 4. | BCC Goal 5. Resolve the 2 ACCJC Recommendations and BCC's self-identified Actionable Improvement Plans | Strategic Goals: <br> D: Strengthen Accountability, Innovation and Collaboration <br> 2015-2016 Institutional Objectives: <br> D. 1 Service Leadership: Provide professional development opportunities for faculty, staff and administrators that lead to |


|  |  | better service to our students and colleagues and community partners. <br> D. 2 Institutional Leadership and Governance: Evaluate and update policies and administrative procedures, the overall PCCD organizational structure, and functional responsibilities within the District. <br> D.3. Institutional Effectiveness: Evaluate and update the PBIM participatory governance structure and the Budget Allocation Model (BAM). <br> D.4. Global Planning: Develop a Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) plan that includes agreed upon standards, estimates costs for facilities operations and maintenance, costs for technology acquisition, repair and replacement cycles, custodial and stationary engineering services for all existing buildings and potential new facilities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | BCC Goal 6. Increase BCC additional and alternative funding sources through materializing BAM, funding raising, non-RES tuition, grants, etc. | Strategic Goals: <br> E: Develop and Manage Resources to Advance Our Mission 2015-2016 Institutional Objectives: <br> E. 1 FTES/FTEF Target: Achieve the District target FTES/FTEF within budget. <br> E. 2 Budget to Improve Student <br> Success: Increase alternative funding sources including, but not limited to, the Peralta Colleges Foundation, non-RES tuition (with a particular focus on recruiting international students), grants, etc. <br> E. 3 Fiscal Oversight: Prudently |


|  |  |  | manage all fiscal resources; general fund, bonds, benefits, OPEB), other long-term liabilities; Resolve all outstanding audit findings. <br> Support Quality Instruction: <br> Increase investments in materials, equipment, and teaching and learning resources to enhance student learning outcomes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Program Review Validation Form and Signature Page

## College:

## Discipline, Department or Program:

Part I. Overall Assessment of the Program Review Report

| Review Criteria | Comments: <br> Explanation if the box is not checked |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. The narrative information is complete and all elements of the program review are addressed. $\square$ <br> 2. The analysis of data is thorough. $\square$ <br> 3. Conclusions and recommendations are well-substantiated and relate to the analysis of the data. $\square$ <br> 4. Discipline, department or program planning goals are articulated in the report. The goals address noted areas of concern. <br> 5. The resource requests are connected to the discipline, department or program planning goals and are aligned to the college goals. |  |

## Part II. Choose one of the Ratings Below and Follow the Instructions.

| Rating | Instructions |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | 1. Complete the signatures below and submit to the Vice President <br> of Instruction. |
| $\square$ | 2. Provide commentary that indicates areas in the report that <br> require improvement and return the report to the discipline, <br> 2. Conditionally Accepted. <br> the validation chair. |
| $\square$ | 3. Provide commentary that indicates areas in the report that <br> require improvement and return the report to the discipline, <br> department or program chair with instructions to revise. Notify <br> the Dean and Vice President of Instruction of the non-accepted <br> status. |
| 3. Not Accepted. |  |

## Part III. Signatures

## Validation Team Chair

Print Name Signature

Date

## Discipline, Department or Program Chair

Print Name
Date

## Received by Vice President of Instruction




[^0]:    1 "The natural approach is a method of language teaching developed by Stephen Krashen and Tracy Terrell in the late 1970s and early 1980s. It aims to foster naturalistic language acquisition in a classroom setting, and to this end it emphasizes communication, and places decreased importance on conscious grammar study and explicit correction of student errors. Efforts are also made to make the learning environment as stress-free as possible. In the natural approach, language output is not forced, but allowed to emerge spontaneously after students have attended to large amounts of comprehensible language input." (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Natural_approach)

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/reports/documents/Online_Education_White_Paper.pdf

