**BCC CIPD Report**

**September 13, 2021 Meeting**

I. General Training Session: What is Credit for Prior Learning (CPL)?

Candace Rose, Interim CPL Coordinator at Palomar College reponded to questions about CPL implementation at Palomar. There is a great deal of information on Palomar’s website at <https://www2.palomar.edu/pages/cpl/>. Below is a summary of important points that came up in response to questions that Candace was asked.

• Ensure that all stakeholders are involved in the planning and roll out of our processn addition to classroom faculty, including but not limited to IT, admissions and records, and counselors.

• For specific information about the change from Credit by Exam to CPL and CPL requirements, the [state chancellor’s office memo ESS-20-300-001](https://cccpln.csod.com/clientimg/cccpln/LiveFeed/cb8c8ff1-fde4-4b9a-913f-b3dd74eb3361_ESS_20_300_001_Credit_for_Prior_Learning.pdf) including Title 5 information.

• Each department/discipline determines which, if any of its courses, are suitable for CPLand what the method(s) of evaluation will be. Portfolio evaluation is one possible method. The faculty determine what items will be required for submission in the portfolio and create a rubric for evaluating the student work. The same requirements evaluation process apply to all students. Note that where shared courses exist, all campuses with the course must agree on awarding CPL and how it is evaluated.

• At Palomar, in order for a course to be available by CPL, the proposed method of evaluation with rubric, must be signed off by the department chairperson, curriculum chair, and CPL coordinator.

• As part of the implementation of CPL, waiving courses should be greatly reduced. Waiving courses leaves students short of units which have to be made up in order to receive the award they are seeking, so does not reduce their time or cost to completion.

• Palomar indicates on their course outline if the coure is available for CPL (they added a new tab on their outlines in Curricunet).

• Candace recommends setting up a student panel to provide feedback and pilot testing.

• Heather Sisneros, CPL Coordinator for Peralta, noted that within a month we should have our own CPL webpage for faculty to refer to. She also said that Machine Technology at Laney is piloting CPL this semester.

• Siri Brown noted that she hopes to have one CPL-focused person at each campus by Spring 2022.

II. Curriculum Items (all items approved)

A. Course Update:

MATH 203

B. Course Correction:

ANTHR 049

ART 049

ASL 049

BIOL 049

BUS 049

CHEM 049

ENGL 049

ENGL 087

MATH 049

MMART 049

PHIL 049

POSCI 049

SPAN 049

C. Course Deactivation

EDUC 048UA-ZZ

EDUC 248UA-ZZ

ENGL 248UA-ZZ

HUMAN 056

LRNRE 048UA-ZZ

LRNRE 248UA-ZZ

III. Discussion Items

1. CIPD Welcoming and Respectful Tone

S. Brown noted that she has received a number of complaints and negative comments about the tone of comments made at CIPD and the way business is conducted. She requests that all members try to create a welcoming feeling and communicate in a respectful tone.

1. ACCJC Revision Policy on Credit Hour, Clock Hour, and Academic Year: Tabled due to lack of time
2. Update on Online Catalog

S. Brown repoted that the online catalog has been put on pause. VPIs weren’t happy with the look of the catalog that would be produced by Curricunet. The 2022-23 catalog will be produced at the campuses as they usually produce it and not online with Curricunet.

1. Update on 2021-22 UC TCA

A. Elmasry requested that all campuses submit the UC TCA courses on the October CIPD agenda.

1. Update on District-wide Change Process/Faculty Involvement: Tabled due to lack of time
2. District-wide Plan of Adding the New Discipline of CS/Computer Science: Tabled due to lack of time
3. Pending District-wide Proposals
	1. ART 007: Laney and Merritt will coordinate their proposals
	2. BIOL 201: Laney wants to change description but course is cross-listed with HLTOC at COA and Merritt
	3. BIOL 202: Laney wants to change description but course is cross-listed with HLTOC at COA
	4. MATH 201: Proposals should be on the October CIPD agenda. All colleges have a proposal ready except BCC.
	5. District-wide update to programs with MATH 203 & 201: a reminder for all campuses to update programs that contain these two courses
	6. SOC 120: Laney needed clarification about the proposed removal of the MATH 013 recommended preparation course which appeared to be pending from last spring. It was confirmed that the faculty at Laney had already agreed that they did not want to proceed with changing the requisite and no further action was required.
	7. ART 021: Merritt is waiting for Laney to update this course